# **Principled Programming**

Introduction to Coding in Any Imperative Language

### Tim Teitelbaum

Emeritus Professor Department of Computer Science Cornell University

## Median



The *median* of an ordered array of *n* values is the middle value. If *n* is odd, this is A[n/2]; if *n* is even, we also opt for A[n/2] rather than averaging the middle two values.

(Recall that / is integer division when both operands are integers, where the fractional part is truncated. Thus, for example, 5/2 is 2, and 6/2 is 3.)

	0	1	2	3	4	
A	50	30	10	40	20	A



The *median* of an ordered array of *n* values is the middle value. If *n* is odd, this is A[n/2]; if *n* is even, we also opt for A[n/2] rather than averaging the middle two values.

(Recall that / is integer division when both operands are integers, where the fractional part is truncated. Thus, for example, 5/2 is 2, and 6/2 is 3.)

But what if the array is not ordered. How would you find the median then?

You could sort the array and select A[n/2]. But sorting requires *n* log *n* operations.

Is it possible to do better? Try it. You will find that everyday experience is no help.

5 n

40

	0	1	2	3	4	n		0	1	2	3	4
A	50	30	10	40	20		Α	50	30	10	60	20
						=						

The *median* of an ordered array of *n* values is the middle value. If *n* is odd, this is A[n/2]; if *n* is even, we also opt for A[n/2] rather than averaging the middle two values.

(Recall that / is integer division when both operands are integers, where the fractional part is truncated. Thus, for example, 5/2 is 2, and 6/2 is 3.)

But what if the array is not ordered. How would you find the median then?

You could sort the array and select A[n/2]. But sorting requires *n* log *n* operations.

Is it possible to do better? Try it. You will find that everyday experience is no help.

We need principles to follow in such cases.





Three principles that can help are:

- **Consider generalizing a problem when designing an algorithm.**
- Consider Divide and Conquer when designing an algorithm.
- **Consider recursion when designing an algorithm.**

We will use them to derive:

- An Average-Case Linear-Time Median Algorithm
- A Worst-Case Linear-Time Median Algorithm

It is astounding that it is possible to find the median of an unordered array of length *n* in linear time, i.e., time proportional to *n*.

The *median* of an ordered array of *n* values is the middle value. If *n* is odd, this is A[n/2]; if *n* is even, we opt for A[n/2] rather than averaging the middle two values.

**Consider generalizing a problem when designing an algorithm.** 

Selection: Given a set of *n* rank-ordered values, select the j<sup>th</sup> smallest value of the set.

**Selection:** Given a set of *n* rank-ordered values, select the j<sup>th</sup> smallest value of the set.

#### **Consider Divide and Conquer when designing an algorithm.**

**Recall:** Partitioning, based on the Dutch National Flag problem, for some pivot p:

 $0 \le j \le W$ . The j<sup>th</sup> smallest value is the j<sup>th</sup> smallest value of A[0..w-1]  $w \le j \le b$ . The j<sup>th</sup> smallest value is the pivot, p  $b \le j \le n$ . The j<sup>th</sup> smallest value is the  $(j-b)^{th}$  smallest value in A[b..n-1]

Choose one of the three regions based on a Partition (Divide) and repeat (Conquer).

```
/* Given A[L..R-1] and pivot value p, Partition(A,L,R,p) rearranges A[L..R-1]
    into all <p, then all ==p, then all >p. */
static void Partition( int A[], int L, int R, int p ) {
    (body of Partition)
    } /* Partition */
```

**Don't type if you can avoid it; clone. Cut and paste, then adapt.** 

```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick_select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
    smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static void QuickSelect( int A[], int L, int R, int p ) {
    (body of Partition)
    } /* Partition */</pre>
```

**Don't type if you can avoid it; clone. Cut and paste, then adapt.** 

```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick_select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
    smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static void QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
    int L = 0; int R = n;
    int p = value-of-pivot;
    (body of Partition)
    } /* QuickSelect */</pre>
```

**Don't type if you can avoid it; clone. Cut and paste, then adapt.** 

Move parameters L, R, and p into the body of QuickSelect, and introduce parameters n and j.

```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick_select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
   smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static int QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
   int L = 0; int R = n;
   int p = value-of-pivot;
   (body of Partition)
   return ___;
   } /* QuickSelect */</pre>
```

**Don't type if you can avoid it; clone. Cut and paste, then adapt.** 

Change return type to **int**, and introduce a **return** statement for the result.

Could consider recursion, but it is not needed because we can just ...

```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick_select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
   smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static int QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
   int L = 0; int R = n;
   int p = value-of-pivot;
   (body of Partition)
   return ____;
   } /* QuickSelect */</pre>
```



```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick_select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
    smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static int QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
    int L = 0; int R = n;
    int p = value-of-pivot;
    (body of Partition)
    return
    } /* QuickSelect */</pre>
```

```
/* Initialize. */
while ( not-finished ) {
   /* Compute. */
   /* Go-on-to-next. */
  }
```



```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick_select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static int QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
    int L = 0; int R = n;
    while ( not-finished ) {
        int p = value-of-pivot;
        (body of Partition)
        /* Go-on-to-next. */
    return __;
    } /* QuickSelect */</pre>
```



```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick_select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
   smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static int QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
    int L = 0; int R = n;
    while ( not-finished ) {
        int p = value-of-pivot;
        (body of Partition)
        /* Go-on-to "<p" or ">p" region if j-th smallest there; else return p. */
    return ___;
    } /* QuickSelect */
```



```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
   smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static int QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
  int L = 0; int R = n;
  while ( not-finished ) {
     int p = value-of-pivot;
     (body of Partition)
     /* Go-on-to "<p" or ">p" region if j-th smallest there; else return p. */
        if ( j<w ) R = w;
           else if ( j<b ) return p;
          else L = b;
  return
   } /* QuickSelect */
```



```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
   smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static int QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
  int L = 0; int R = n;
  while (R-L > 1) \{
     int p = value-of-pivot;
     (body of Partition)
     /* Go-on-to "<p" or ">p" region if j-th smallest there; else return p. */
        if(j < w) R = w;
           else if ( j<b ) return p;
           else L = b;
  return A[j];
   } /* QuickSelect */
```



```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
    smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static int QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
  int L = 0; int R = n;
  while (R-L > 1) {
      int p = value-of-pivot;
      (body of Partition)
      /* Go-on-to "<p" or ">p" region if j-th smallest there; else return p. */
         if(j < w) R = w;
            else if ( j<b ) return p;</pre>
           else L = b;
  return A[j];
   } /* QuickSelect */
                        Q. Where was j ever updated?
```



```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
    smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static int QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
  int L = 0; int R = n;
  while (R-L > 1)
      int p = value-of-pivot;
      (body of Partition)
      /* Go-on-to "<p" or ">p" region if j-th smallest there; else return p. */
         if(j < w) R = w;
            else if ( j<b ) return p;</pre>
            else L = b;
   return A[j];
   } /* QuickSelect */
                         Q. Where was j ever updated?
                         A. Nowhere. Partitioning moved values so the j<sup>th</sup> smallest ended up in A[j].
```



```
/* Given A[L..n-1], and int 0≤j<n, quick select(A,n,j) returns the j-th
   smallest value in A[0..n-1]. */
static int QuickSelect( int A[], int n, int j ) {
  int L = 0; int R = n;
  'while ( R-L > 1 ) {
     int p = (A[L]+A[R-1])/2;
     /* Go-on-to "<p" or ">p" region if j-th smallest there; else return p. */
        if(j < w) R = w;
           else if ( j<b ) return p;
          else L = b;
  return A[j];
  } /* QuickSelect */
```

#### **Performance:** Pivots computed as (A[L]+A[R-1])/2

- <u>Best case</u>. On each iteration, pivot is (serendipitously) the median of A[L..R-1], so region sizes reduced by ½. Partitioning time is linear in size.
   Total effort. 1·n + ½·n + ¼·n + ... = 2·n, i.e., linear in n
- <u>Worst case</u>. On each iteration, pivot is (serendipitously) the min or max of A[L..R-1], so region sizes reduced by 1. Partitioning time is linear in size.
   Total effort. n +(n-1) + (n-2) + ... + 1 = n·(n-1)/2, i.e., quadratic in n.
- <u>Average case</u>, i.e., summed over all permutations of values in A[0..n-1]. Total effort. Linear in *n* (offered without proof)

**Bad News:** QuickSelect can have quadratic-time performance on some arrays.

**Imagine** telling the widow:

But Mrs. Jones, <u>on average</u> the code would have been fast enough to have saved your husband's life.

Goal. Linear-time performance on every array.

### Performance Goal: Pivots computed as \_\_\_\_\_\_ in the hope that

• Every case.

(1) On each iteration, region sizes reduced by constant ratio *r*.
Partitioning time is linear in region size.
Total effort for partitioning. 1·n + r·n + r<sup>2</sup>·n + r<sup>3</sup>·n + ... = n/(1-r)
I.e., linear in *n*, not counting time to compute the pivot.

(2) On each iteration, the cost to compute the pivot is also linear in region size.

Thus, total effort, would be linear in *n*. In particular, even in the worst-case.

### **Performance Goal:** Pivots computed as approximations to median of A[L..R-1].

• Every case.

(1) On each iteration, region sizes reduced by constant ratio *r*.
Partitioning time is linear in region size.
Total effort for partitioning. 1·n + r·n + r<sup>2</sup>·n + r<sup>3</sup>·n + ... = n/(1-r)
I.e., linear in n, not counting time to compute the pivot.

(2) On each iteration, the cost to compute the pivot is also linear in region size.

Thus, total effort, would be linear in *n*. In particular, even in the worst-case.

Imagine that this array, with median 61:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
A	51	60	73	92	57	54	75	59	91	58	71	62	67	66	59	52	61	72	55	60	79

were laid out in a 3-high 2-D array in row major order:

51	60	73	92	57	54	75
59	91	58	71	62	67	66
59	52	61	72	55	60	79

Imagine that this array, with median 61:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
A	51	60	73	92	57	54	75	59	91	58	71	62	67	66	59	52	61	72	55	60	79

were laid out in a 3-high 2-D array in row major order:

51	52	58	71	55	54	66
59	60	61	72	57	60	75
59	91	73	92	62	67	79

Now, imagine that each column were sorted, so its median comes to middle row.

Imagine that this array, with median 61:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
A	51	60	73	92	57	54	75	59	91	58	71	62	67	66	59	52	61	72	55	60	79

were laid out in a 3-high 2-D array in row major order:

55	51	52	54	58	66	71
57	59	60	60	61	75	72
62	59	91	67	73	79	92

Next, imagine that the columns were sorted by their medians. The median of the medians is shown with a green background.

Imagine that this array, with median 61:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
A	51	60	73	92	57	54	75	59	91	58	71	62	67	66	59	52	61	72	55	60	79

were laid out in a 3-high 2-D array in row major order:

55	51	52	54	58	66	71
57	59	60	60	61	75	72
62	59	91	67	73	79	92

Finally, color code the values: pink, if ≤ median of medians blue, if ≥ median of medians

Imagine that this array, with median 61:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
A	51	60	73	92	57	54	75	59	91	58	71	62	67	66	59	52	61	72	55	60	79

were laid out in a 3-high 2-D array in row major order:

55	51	52	54	58	66	71
57	59	60	60	61	75	72
62	59	91	67	73	79	92

Finally, color code the values: pink, if ≤ median of medians blue, if ≥ median of medians

Choose the median of medians (60) as the pivot p, and partition A.

-	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Α	51	55	57	54	52	59	59	58	60	60	62	67	66	71	91	61	72	75	92	79	73

Imagine that this array, with median 61:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
A	51	60	73	92	57	54	75	59	91	58	71	62	67	66	59	52	61	72	55	60	79

were laid out in a 3-high 2-D array in row major order:

55	51	52	54	58	66	71
57	59	60	60	61	75	72
62	59	91	67	73	79	92

We seek the median, i.e., the n/2<sup>th</sup> smallest (n/2 = 21/2 = 10), which falls into >p region

Choose the median of medians (60) as the pivot p, and partition A.

_	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Α	51	55	57	54	52	59	59	58	60	60	62	67	66	71	91	61	72	75	92	79	73

Imagine that this array, with median 61:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
A	51	60	73	92	57	54	75	59	91	58	71	62	67	66	59	52	61	72	55	60	79

were laid out in a 3-high 2-D array in row major order:

55	51	52	54	58	66	71
57	59	60	60	61	75	72
62	59	91	67	73	79	92

We seek the median, i.e., the  $n/2^{th}$  smallest (n/2 = 21/2 = 10), which falls into >p region, eliminating at least  $2/3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 1/3$  the values.

Thus, the >p region is no larger than r = 1-1/3 = 2/3 the size of the whole.

		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Α									62	67	66	71	91	61	72	75	92	79	73

#### **Performance Goal:** Pivots computed as approximations to median of A[L..R-1].

• Every case.

(1) On each iteration, region sizes reduced by constant ratio r.
 Partitioning time is linear in region size.
 Total effort for partitioning. n + r · n + r<sup>2</sup> · n + r<sup>3</sup> · n + ... = n/(1-r)

I.e., linear in *n*, not counting time to compute the pivot.

(2) On each iteration, the cost to compute the pivot is also linear in region size.

Thus, total effort, would be linear in *n*. In particular, even in the worst-case.

#### **Performance Goal:** Pivots computed as median of medians of A[L..R-1].

• Every case.

(1) On each iteration, region sizes reduced by constant ratio *r*. Partitioning time is linear in region size. Total effort for partitioning. n + (2/3)·n + (2/3)<sup>2</sup>·n + (2/3)<sup>3</sup>·n + ... = n/(1-2/3) = 3·n
I.e., linear in n, not counting time to compute the pivot.
(2) On each iteration, the cost to compute the pivot is also linear in region size.

Thus, total effort, would be linear in *n*. In particular, even in the worst-case.

#### **Performance Goal:** Pivots computed as median of medians of A[L..R-1].

• Every case.

(1) On each iteration, region sizes reduced by constant ratio *r*. Partitioning time is linear in region size. Total effort for partitioning. n + (2/3) ·n + (2/3)<sup>2</sup> ·n + (2/3)<sup>3</sup> ·n + ... = n/(1-2/3) = 3 ·n
✓ I.e., linear in *n*, not counting time to compute the pivot.
(2) On each iteration, the cost to compute the pivot is also linear in region size. But how will we compute the median of medians of A[L..R-1]? Thus, total effort, would be linear in *n*. In particular, even in the worst-case. **Performance Goal:** Pivots computed as median of medians of A[L..R-1] using recursion, i.e., apply the worst-case median algorithm to the n/3 medians of groups of 3 elements.

**Consider recursion when designing an algorithm.** 

**Performance Goal:** Pivots computed as median of medians of A[L..R-1] using recursion, i.e., apply the worst-case median algorithm to the n/3 medians of groups of 3 elements.

This works, but alas, there are too many groups of 3, so the total cost is super-linear.

**Consider recursion when designing an algorithm.** 

**Performance Goal:** Pivots computed as median of medians of A[L..R-1] using recursion, i.e., apply the worst-case median algorithm to the n/3 medians of groups of 3 elements.

This works, but alas, there are too many groups of 3, so the total cost is super-linear.

But don't loose heart. All is not lost, because ...

**Performance Goal:** Pivots computed as median of medians of A[L..R-1] using recursion, i.e., apply the worst-case median algorithm to the n/5 medians of groups of 5 elements.

This works, and is linear.

Selection of a partition region eliminates at least  $3/5 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 3/10$  the values.

Thus, the selected region is no larger than r = 1-3/10 = 7/10 the size of the whole.

Total effort for partitioning.  $n + (7/10) \cdot n + (7/10)^2 \cdot n + (7/10)^3 \cdot n + ... = n/(1-7/10) = 3.33 \cdot n$ 

In effect, the reduction ratio r shrinks slightly (from 2/3 to 3/10), but the number of groups shrinks more than enough (from n/3 to n/5) to render the total linear.

#### Summary:

Presented three algorithm-design principles that can serve in lieu of everyday experience:

- © Consider generalizing a problem when designing an algorithm.
- © Consider Divide and Conquer when designing an algorithm.
- © Consider recursion when designing an algorithm.

Used the principles to derive two algorithms for finding the median as a special case of finding the  $j^{th}$  smallest value in A[0..n-1]:

- Linear average-time performance
- Linear worst-case-time performance.