

## Lecture 21: Nov 3, 2022

Lecturer: Mohit Gurumukhani

Scribe: Ricky Shapley

## 1 Review of notation

A  $d$ -regular undirected graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices, has spectral gap  $\gamma = 1 - \lambda$ . It has an associated random walk matrix  $M = \frac{1}{d}A_G$ , where  $A_G$  is the adjacency matrix for  $G$ .

## 2 Graph reduction

Given an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  that is correct with probability  $3/4$ , uses  $m$  random bits, and runs in time  $T$ , how can we leverage this algorithm to reduce the error to  $2^{-k}$ ?

Naively, we can run  $\mathcal{A}$  many times and take the majority, but this takes  $O(Tk)$  time and  $O(mk)$  random bits. With pairwise independence, we can use only  $O(m+k)$  random bits, but need  $O(T2^k)$  time. Expander graphs will allow us to do better, achieving a runtime of  $O(Tk)$  and  $O(mk)$  random bits.

We start with an expander graph with nodes from the set  $V = \{0, 1\}^m$ . We randomly choose a starting point  $v_1$  (this takes  $m$  random bits), then do a random walk for  $t - 1$  steps, arriving at vertices  $v_2, \dots, v_t$ . Note that this requires an additional  $\log(d)$  random bits for each step.

First, we will prove a result for algorithms with 1-sided error (**RP**).

**Theorem 2.1** (Hitting property of random walks). *For all  $B \subseteq V$ , let  $\mu_B = \frac{|B|}{n}$  be the density of  $B$ . Then for a random walk  $v_1, \dots, v_t$ ,*

$$\Pr \left[ \bigvee_{i=1}^t v_i \in B \right] \leq (\mu_B + \lambda(1 - \mu_B))^t.$$

## 3 Proof of hitting property

Let  $P$  be the  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix with  $P_{ii} = 1$  if  $i \in B$ , and  $P_{ii} = 0$  otherwise.

**Claim 3.1.**

$$\Pr \left[ \bigvee_{i=1}^t v_i \in B \right] = |uP(MP)^{t-1}|$$

where  $u$  is the uniform vector with  $u_i = 1/n$ .

*Proof.* We prove a similar statement: the probability that the first  $t$  steps of the random walk are all in  $B$  and the  $t$ th vertex is  $i$  is given by  $(uP(MP)^{t-1})_i$ . Note that this directly implies our claim. We will prove this by induction on  $t$ .

When  $t = 1$ , if  $i \in B$ , then  $(uP)_i = 1/n$  which is the probability we desire. Similarly, if  $i \notin B$ ,  $(uP)_i = 0$ .

Now if we assume the statement holds for  $t - 1$ , then  $(uP(MP)^{t-2} \cdot M)_i$  is the probability we are in vertex  $i$  on the  $t$ th step and all  $t - 1$  vertices were in  $B$ . We multiply by  $P$  to ensure we only have positive probability if  $i$  is in  $B$ . So we find that  $(uP(MP)^{t-1})_i$  the probability that all  $t$  vertices are in  $B$  and the last vertex is  $i$ .  $\square$

### 3.1 Matrix decomposition

We will now look at some related ideas that will help us finish proving the theorem.

**Definition 3.2.** We say the spectral norm of a matrix  $A$  is

$$\|A\| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|xA\|_2}{\|x\|_2}.$$

It is easy to confirm the following properties of the spectral norm:

- $\|cA\| = c\|A\|$
- $\|AB\| \leq \|A\|\|B\|$
- $\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$
- $\|xA\|_2 \leq \|x\|_2\|A\|$

**Lemma 3.3** (Matrix decomposition). For random walk matrix  $M$  on graph  $G$  with spectral gap  $\gamma = 1 - \lambda$ ,

$$M = \gamma J + \lambda E$$

where  $J$  is the matrix with all entries equal to  $1/n$ , and  $\|E\| \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E = \frac{1}{\lambda}(M - \gamma J)$ . For any vector  $v$ , we can decompose it as  $v = v_1 + v_2$ , where  $v_1 = \langle v_1, u \rangle u$  and  $v_2 = v - v_1$ . (Note that  $v_2 \perp u$ ).

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 E &= \langle v_1, u \rangle u E \\ &= \frac{\langle v_1, u \rangle}{\lambda} (uM - \gamma uJ) \\ &= \frac{\langle v_1, u \rangle}{\lambda} (u - \gamma u) \\ &= \frac{\langle v_1, u \rangle}{\lambda} (u\lambda) = \langle v_1, u \rangle u = v_1. \end{aligned}$$

And  $v_2 E = \frac{1}{\lambda}(v_2 M - \gamma v_2 J)$ . First, we see that  $v_2 J = 0$ , since  $v_2 \perp u$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_2 E, u \rangle &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle v_2 M u^T \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle v_2 u^T \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so  $v_2 E \perp u$  (and also  $v_2 E \perp v_1$ ). We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_2 E\|_2 &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \|v_2 M\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \lambda \|v_2\|_2 = \|v_2\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

because  $v_2 \perp u$  which is the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue. So  $v_2$  is scaled by at most the second largest eigenvalue,  $\lambda$ .

Combining the above results, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\|vE\|_2^2 &= \|v_1E + v_2E\|_2^2 \\ &= \|v_1E\|_2^2 + \|v_2E\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|v_1\|_2^2 + \|v_2\|_2^2 = \|v\|_2^2\end{aligned}$$

which by the definition of the spectral norm,  $\|E\| \leq 1$ . □

Now we can use this matrix decomposition to make some progress.

**Claim 3.4.**

$$\|PMP\| \leq \mu_B + \lambda(1 - \mu_B).$$

*Proof.* We just use the decomposition on  $M$  and do algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}\|PMP\| &= \|P(\gamma J + \lambda E)\| \\ &\leq \gamma\|PJP\| + \lambda\|PEP\| \\ &\leq \gamma\|PJP\| + \lambda\|P\|\|E\|\|P\| \\ &\leq \gamma\|PJP\| + \lambda\end{aligned}$$

since both  $P$  and  $E$  have norms bounded by 1.

Now consider any vector  $x$ . let  $y = xP$ . Then since  $yJ = (\sum_i y_i) u$

$$\begin{aligned}\|xPJP\|_2 &= \|yJP\|_2 \\ &= \left\| \left( \sum_i y_i \right) uP \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \left| \sum_i y_i \right| \cdot \|uP\|_2 \\ &= |\langle 1_B, x \rangle| \cdot \|uP\|_2 \quad \text{where } 1_B \text{ is the indicator vector for } B \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mu_B n} \|x\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{\mu_B/n} \quad \text{(Cauchy-Schwarz)} \\ &= \mu_B \|x\|_2\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that  $P$  (and  $y$ ) have at most  $\mu_B n$  non-zero entries. Since this is true for all  $x$ ,  $\|PJP\| \leq \mu_B$ . And so,

$$\|PMP\| \leq \gamma\mu_B + \lambda = \mu_B + \lambda(1 - \mu_B).$$

□

Now, we can finally finish the proof of the hitting property. Here, we make use of the fact that  $P(MP)^t = P(PMP)^t$  because  $P = P^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}|uP(MP)^{t-1}| &\leq \sqrt{\mu_B n} \cdot \|uP(PMP)^{t-1}\|_2 \quad \text{(Cauchy-Schwarz)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mu_B n} \cdot \|uP\|_2 \|PMP\|^{t-1} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mu_B n} \sqrt{\mu_B/n} (\mu_B + \lambda(1 - \mu_B))^{t-1} \\ &= \mu (\mu_B + \lambda(1 - \mu_B))^{t-1} \\ &\leq (\mu_B + \lambda(1 - \mu_B))^t.\end{aligned}$$

## 4 Chernoff bound for expanders

To extend our result for 2-sided error (**BPP**), we need the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Given a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices, let  $f : [n] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any function. For a random walk  $v_1, \dots, v_t$ , we have*

$$\Pr \left[ \left| \frac{1}{t} \sum_i f(v_i) - \mathbb{E}f \right| \geq \lambda + \epsilon \right] \leq 2e^{-\Omega(\epsilon^2 t)}.$$

Due to time, we did not cover the proof, but it is theorem 4.22 in the Pseudorandomness book.

## 5 Final remarks

If we want to reduce  $\lambda$ , (for example to reduce the bias from the above theorem and use expanders to sample  $f$ ,) we can take an expander  $G$  raised to some power  $k$ , and our  $\lambda$  becomes  $\lambda^k$ . But this also increases our degree bound from  $d$  to  $d^k$ , which means we need more random bits for each step in our random walk.

A final distinction on expanders and how explicit they must be. One notion is a mildly explicit expander, which means we can construct the expander in  $\text{poly}(n)$  time. But this is bad in our application, because  $n = 2^m$ , so this actually requires exponential time and space. Since we only care about the neighbors, we can instead use fully explicit expanders, which allow you to find the  $i$ th adjacent vertex in  $O(\log n)$  time.