Abstracts and Final Project

CS6787 Lecture 14 — Spring 2024

Final project guidelines

What is good in an Abstract

- Should be like an abstract for a paper
 - Something that could (but doesn't need to) be the abstract for your final report.
- Typical length: about 6–8 sentences
 - Can be longer or shorter
 - But it's an advertisement/summary for your paper, not the paper itself
- Should fairly summarize your results, but does not have to be complete
 - E.g. you can say something like "Our method improves throughput by X% over an existing method" if you haven't run the experiment yet.

Things to cover in an abstract

- Setting/Motivation
 - What is the setting of the paper? Why should we care about it?
- Problem Statement/Scope
 - Within this setting, what problem did you set out to solve in the paper?
- Approach/Methodology
 - What did you do to solve the problem? What techniques did you develop?
- Results
 - What did you observe? How did your techniques perform?
- Conclusion:
 - What should we take away from your results? Why should we care about them?

An Example: HOGWILD!

Setting/Motivation

Problem Statement/Scope

Approach/Methodology

Results

Conclusion/Takeaway

Another Example: Deep Compression

Neural networks are both computationally intensive and memory intensive, making them difficult to deploy on embedded systems with limited hardware resources. To address this limitation, we introduce "deep compression", a three stage pipeline: pruning, trained quantization and Huffman coding, that work together to reduce the storage requirement of neural networks by $35 \times to 49 \times$ without affecting their accuracy. Our method first prunes the network by learning only the important connections. Next, we quantize the weights to enforce weight sharing, finally, we apply Huffman coding. After the first two steps we retrain the network to fine tune the remaining connections and the quantized centroids. Pruning, reduces the number of connections connections and the quantized centroids. Pruning, reduces the number of connections by $9 \times to 13 \times$; Quantization then reduces the number of bits that represent each connection from 32 to 5. On the ImageNet dataset, our method reduced the storage required by AlexNet by $35 \times$, from 240MB to 6.9MB, without loss of accuracy. Our method reduced the size of VGG-16 by $49 \times$ from 552MB to 11.3MB, again with no loss of accuracy. This allows fitting the model into on-chip SRAM cache rather than off-chip DRAM memory. Our compression method also facilitates the use of complex neural networks in mobile applications where application size and download bandwidth are constrained. Benchmarked on CPU, GPU and mobile GPU, compressed network has $3 \times$ to $4 \times$ layerwise speedup and $3 \times$ to $7 \times$ better energy efficiency.

Setting/Motivation

Neural networks are both computationally intensive and memory intensive, making them difficult to deploy on embedded systems with limited hardware resources. To address this limitation, we introduce "deep compression", a three stage pipeline: pruning, trained quantization and Huffman coding, that work together to reduce the storage requirement of neural networks by $35 \times to 49 \times$ without affecting their accuracy. Our method first prunes the network by learning only the important connections. Next, we quantize the weights to enforce weight sharing, finally, we apply Huffman coding. After the first two steps we retrain the network to fine tune the remaining connections and the quantized centroids. Pruning, reduces the number of connections connections and the quantized centroids. Pruning, reduces the number of connections by $9 \times to 13 \times$; Quantization then reduces the number of bits that represent each connection from 32 to 5. On the ImageNet dataset, our method reduced the storage required by AlexNet by $35 \times$, from 240MB to 6.9MB, without loss of accuracy. Our method reduced the size of VGG-16 by $49 \times$ from 552MB to 11.3MB, again with no loss of accuracy. This allows fitting the model into on-chip SRAM cache rather than off-chip DRAM memory. Our compression method also facilitates the use of complex neural networks in mobile applications where application size and download bandwidth are constrained. Benchmarked on CPU, GPU and mobile GPU, compressed network has $3 \times$ to $4 \times$ layerwise speedup and $3 \times$ to $7 \times$ better energy efficiency.

Problem Statement/Scope

Neural networks are both computationally intensive and memory intensive, making them difficult to deploy on embedded systems with limited hardware resources. To address this limitation, we introduce "deep compression", a three stage pipeline: pruning, trained quantization and Huffman coding, that work together to reduce the storage requirement of neural networks by $35 \times to 49 \times$ without affecting their accuracy. Our method first prunes the network by learning only the important connections. Next, we quantize the weights to enforce weight sharing, finally, we apply Huffman coding. After the first two steps we retrain the network to fine tune the remaining connections and the quantized centroids. Pruning, reduces the number of connections connections and the quantized centroids. Pruning, reduces the number of connections by $9 \times to 13 \times$; Quantization then reduces the number of bits that represent each connection from 32 to 5. On the ImageNet dataset, our method reduced the storage required by AlexNet by $35 \times$, from 240MB to 6.9MB, without loss of accuracy. Our method reduced the size of VGG-16 by $49 \times$ from 552MB to 11.3MB, again with no loss of accuracy. This allows fitting the model into on-chip SRAM cache rather than off-chip DRAM memory. Our compression method also facilitates the use of complex neural networks in mobile applications where application size and download bandwidth are constrained. Benchmarked on CPU, GPU and mobile GPU, compressed network has $3 \times$ to $4 \times$ layerwise speedup and $3 \times$ to $7 \times$ better energy efficiency.

Approach/Methodology

Neural networks are both computationally intensive and memory intensive, making them difficult to deploy on embedded systems with limited hardware resources. To address this limitation, we introduce "deep compression", a three stage pipeline: pruning, trained quantization and Huffman coding, that work together to reduce the storage requirement of neural networks by $35 \times to 49 \times$ without affecting their accuracy. Our method first prunes the network by learning only the important connections. Next, we quantize the weights to enforce weight sharing, finally, we apply Huffman coding. After the first two steps we retrain the network to fine tune the remaining connections and the quantized centroids. Pruning, reduces the number of connections by 9X to 13X: Quantization then reduces the number of bits that represent each connections and the quantized centroids. Pruning, reduces the number of connections by $9 \times to 13 \times$; Quantization then reduces the number of bits that represent each connection from 32 to 5. On the ImageNet dataset, our method reduced the storage required by AlexNet by $35 \times$, from 240MB to 6.9MB, without loss of accuracy. Our method reduced the size of VGG-16 by $49 \times$ from 552MB to 11.3MB, again with no loss of accuracy. This allows fitting the model into on-chip SRAM cache rather than off-chip DRAM memory. Our compression method also facilitates the use of complex neural networks in mobile applications where application size and download bandwidth are constrained. Benchmarked on CPU, GPU and mobile GPU, compressed network has $3 \times$ to $4 \times$ layerwise speedup and $3 \times$ to $7 \times$ better energy efficiency.

Results

Neural networks are both computationally intensive and memory intensive, making them difficult to deploy on embedded systems with limited hardware resources. To address this limitation, we introduce "deep compression", a three stage pipeline: pruning, trained quantization and Huffman coding, that work together to reduce the storage requirement of neural networks by $35 \times 10^{49} \times 10^{49} \times 10^{10}$ without affecting their accuracy. Our method first prunes the network by learning only the important connections. Next, we quantize the weights to enforce weight sharing, finally, we apply Huffman coding. After the first two steps we retrain the network to fine tune the remaining connections and the quantized centroids. Pruning, reduces the number of connections by 9X to 13X: Quantization then reduces the number of bits that represent each by $9 \times$ to $13 \times$; Quantization then reduces the number of bits that represent each connection from 32 to 5. On the ImageNet dataset, our method reduced the storage required by AlexNet by $35 \times$, from 240MB to 6.9MB, without loss of accuracy. Our method reduced the size of VGG-16 by $49 \times$ from 552MB to 11.3MB, again with no loss of accuracy. This allows fitting the model into on-chip SRAM cache rather than off-chip DRAM memory. Our compression method also facilitates the use of complex neural networks in mobile applications where application size and download bandwidth are constrained. Benchmarked on CPU, GPU and mobile GPU, compressed network bas $3\times$ to $4\times$ layerwise speedup and $3\times$ to $7\times$ better energy efficiency. has $3 \times$ to $4 \times$ layerwise speedup and $3 \times$ to $7 \times$ better energy efficiency.

Conclusion/Takeaway

Neural networks are both computationally intensive and memory intensive, making them difficult to deploy on embedded systems with limited hardware resources. To address this limitation, we introduce "deep compression", a three stage pipeline: pruning, trained quantization and Huffman coding, that work together to reduce the storage requirement of neural networks by $35 \times to 49 \times$ without affecting their accuracy. Our method first prunes the network by learning only the important connections. Next, we quantize the weights to enforce weight sharing, finally, we apply Huffman coding. After the first two steps we retrain the network to fine tune the remaining connections and the quantized centroids. Pruning, reduces the number of connections by 9× to 13×; Quantization then reduces the number of bits that represent each connection from 32 to 5. On the ImageNet dataset, our method reduced the storage required by AlexNet by 35×, from 240MB to 6.9MB, without loss of accuracy. Our method reduced the size of VGG-16 by 49× from 552MB to 11.3MB, again with no loss of accuracy. This allows fitting the model into on-chip SRAM cache rather than off-chip DRAM memory. Our compression method also facilitates the use of complex neural networks in mobile applications where application size and download bandwidth are constrained. Benchmarked on CPU, GPU and mobile GPU, compressed network bas 3× to 4× laverwise speedup and 3× to 7× better energy efficiency. has $3 \times$ to $4 \times$ layerwise speedup and $3 \times$ to $7 \times$ better energy efficiency.

Abstract Presentation — Wednesday

- Goal: to learn how to write a great abstract
 - Secondary goal: to see what other students' projects are
- Submit your abstracts by 5:00 PM
 - So that I can print them out and put them into a slide deck before class
- We will have an in-class feedback activity
 - Like the project idea discussion activity
 - Will overflow into Monday, then I'll finish up with talking a bit about my own research if there's leftover time



Project Report Expectations

Formatting

- Report should be at least four pages, not including references
 - Submit either a link to code or code as an appendix or attachment
- Report should use ICML 2019 style or a similar style
 - This is mostly to be fair about length
- Report should be structured appropriately
 - For example: abstract, introduction, related work, main results, experiments
 - Correctly formatted references page

Content — Overview

- You should have implemented a machine learning system
 - This entails writing some code
 - You should have some code to submit along with the report
 - Either as a supplemental file, or as a link to a repository
- You should have used a technique we discussed in the course
 - And it should be clear from the report which one you used
- You should have run empirical evaluations of your method
 - Systems metric: e.g. throughput, wall-clock time, memory usage
 - Statistical metric: e.g. accuracy, F1 score
- Your work should correspond to the proposal

Content — Conceptual

- The report should summarize the problem you are trying to solve
 - Explain why your approach is a good idea or interesting to study
 - Thesis statement clearly and concisely states the purpose of the report
- The report should fairly acknowledge previous work
 - And relate it to what you did
- The report should be **clear and well-written**
 - Avoid grammar/spelling/punctuation issues that make the text difficult to read.
- The report should **demonstrate knowledge/understanding** of the chosen technique beyond what we discussed in class

Content — Technical

- The main section of the report should explain what you did
 And why you did it!
- Someone should be able to **reproduce your results** from the report
- The paper should be technically sound
 - Any claims should be supported by theoretical analysis or experimental results
- Evaluate both the **strengths and weaknesses** of the work

Content — Experimental

- The experiments should involve a fair comparison
 - In terms of systems & statistical performance, among two or more methods
 - Will need **more** than the bare minimum described in the proposal
- The report should explain the experimental results
 - Why did this happen? Was it what you expected? What does this tell us?
- The results should be **properly formatted**
 - At least one figure with a title and properly labeled axes
 - Present things graphically whenever possible

Content — Impact

- The report should **discuss the impact** of the results
 - What does this tell us about how we should design systems in the future?
- The report should gesture at possibilities for future work

