

CS5670: Computer Vision

Local features & Harris corner detection




Announcements

- Project 1 code due this Friday, February 9 at 8pm
 - Turnin via Github Classroom
- Project 1 artifact due Monday, 2/12 at 8pm
- Quiz this Thursday via Canvas
- Project 2 will be released next Tuesday


Reading

- Szeliski: 7.1

Today: Feature extraction—Corners and blobs




Motivation: Automatic panoramas



Credit: Matt Brown

Panorama stitching



Panorama captured by Perseverance Rover, Feb. 20, 2021

<https://www.space.com/nasa-perseverance-rover-first-panorama-mars>

Motivation: Automatic panoramas



GigaPan:


<http://gigapan.com/>

Also see Google Zoom Views:

<https://www.google.com/culturalinstitute/beta/project/gigapixels>


Why extract features?

- Motivation: panorama stitching
 - We have two images – how do we combine them?



Why extract features?

- Motivation: panorama stitching
 - We have two images – how do we combine them?



Step 1: extract features
Step 2: match features

Why extract features?


- Motivation: panorama stitching
 - We have two images – how do we combine them?




Step 1: extract features
Step 2: match features
Step 3: align images

Application: Visual SLAM


- (aka Simultaneous Localization and Mapping)



Do these images overlap?




Answer below (look for tiny colored squares...)




NASA Mars Rover images
with SIFT feature matches

Feature matching for object search




Feature matching



Invariant local features

Find features that are invariant to transformations

- geometric invariance: translation, rotation, scale
- photometric invariance: brightness, exposure, ...



Advantages of local features

Locality

- features are local, so robust to occlusion and clutter

Quantity

- hundreds or thousands in a single image

Distinctiveness:

- can differentiate a large database of objects


Efficiency

- real-time performance achievable

More motivation...


Feature points are used for:

- Image alignment (e.g., mosaics)
- 3D reconstruction
- Motion tracking (e.g. for AR)
- Object recognition
- Image retrieval
- Robot/car navigation
- ... other




Local features: main components

1) **Detection:** Identify the interest points




2) **Description:** Extract vector feature descriptor surrounding each interest point



$$\mathbf{x}_2 = [x_1^{(2)}, \dots, x_d^{(2)}]$$

3) **Matching:** Determine correspondence between descriptors in two views



Credit: Kristen Grauman

What makes a good feature?



Want uniqueness

Look for image regions that are unusual




- Lead to unambiguous matches in other images

How to define “unusual”?

Local measures of uniqueness


Suppose we only consider a small window of pixels

- What defines whether a feature is a good or bad candidate?




Local measures of uniqueness


- How does the window change when you shift it?
- Shifting the window in any direction causes a big change



"flat" region:
no change in all
directions



"edge":
no change along
the edge direction



"corner":
significant change
in all directions


Harris corner detection: the math

Consider shifting the window W by (u, v)

- how do the pixels in W change?
- compare each pixel before and after by summing up the squared differences (SSD)
- this defines an SSD "error" $E(u, v)$:

$$E(u, v) = \sum_{(x, y) \in W} [I(x + u, y + v) - I(x, y)]^2$$

- We are happy if this error is high
- We are very happy if this error is high *for all offsets* (u, v)
- Slow to compute exactly for each pixel and each offset (u, v)



Chris Harris and Mike Stephens (1988). "A Combined Corner and Edge Detector". *Alvey Vision Conference*

Small motion assumption

Taylor Series expansion of I :

$$I(x+u, y+v) = I(x, y) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}v + \text{higher order terms}$$

If the motion (u, v) is small, then first order approximation is good

$$\begin{aligned} I(x+u, y+v) &\approx I(x, y) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}v \\ &\approx I(x, y) + [I_x \ I_y] \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$


shorthand: $I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$

Plugging this into the formula on the previous slide...

Corner detection: the math

Consider shifting the window W by (u, v)

- define an SSD "error" $E(u, v)$:



$$\begin{aligned} E(u, v) &= \sum_{(x, y) \in W} [I(x + u, y + v) - I(x, y)]^2 \\ &\approx \sum_{(x, y) \in W} [I(x, y) + I_x u + I_y v - I(x, y)]^2 \\ &\approx \sum_{(x, y) \in W} [I_x u + I_y v]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Corner detection: the math


Consider shifting the window W by (u, v)

- define an SSD "error" $E(u, v)$:

$$\begin{aligned} E(u, v) &\approx \sum_{(x, y) \in W} [I_x u + I_y v]^2 \\ &\approx Au^2 + 2Buv + Cv^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$A = \sum_{(x, y) \in W} I_x^2 \quad B = \sum_{(x, y) \in W} I_x I_y \quad C = \sum_{(x, y) \in W} I_y^2$$

- Thus, $E(u, v)$ is locally approximated as a quadratic error function



The second moment matrix


The surface $E(u,v)$ is locally approximated by a quadratic form.

$$E(u, v) \approx Au^2 + 2Buv + Cv^2$$
$$\approx \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}}_H \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} I_x^2$$

$$B = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} I_x I_y$$

$$C = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} I_y^2$$




Let's try to understand its shape.

$$E(u, v) \approx \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}}_H \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} I_x^2$$


$$B = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} I_x I_y$$

$$C = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} I_y^2$$



Horizontal edge: $I_x = 0$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$$




$$E(u, v) \approx \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}}_H \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} I_x^2$$


$$B = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} I_x I_y$$

$$C = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} I_y^2$$



Vertical edge: $I_y = 0$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$




General case

We can visualize H as an ellipse with axis lengths determined by the *eigenvalues* of H and orientation determined by the *eigenvectors* of H

Ellipse equation:

$$[u \ v] H \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \text{const}$$



Quick eigenvalue/eigenvector review

The **eigenvectors** of a matrix **A** are the vectors **x** that satisfy:

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

The scalar λ is the **eigenvalue** corresponding to **x**

- The eigenvalues are found by solving:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

- In our case, **A** = **H** is a 2x2 matrix, so we have

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} - \lambda & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

- The solution:


$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(h_{11} + h_{22}) \pm \sqrt{4h_{12}h_{21} + (h_{11} - h_{22})^2} \right]$$

Once you know λ , you find **x** by solving

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_{11} - \lambda & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Corner detection: the math

$$E(u, v) \approx \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}}_H \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$



$$Hx_{\max} = \lambda_{\max}x_{\max}$$

$$Hx_{\min} = \lambda_{\min}x_{\min}$$

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of H

- Define shift directions with the smallest and largest change in error
- x_{\max} = direction of largest increase in E
- λ_{\max} = amount of increase in direction x_{\max}
- x_{\min} = direction of smallest increase in E
- λ_{\min} = amount of increase in direction x_{\min}

Corner detection: the math

How are λ_{\max} , χ_{\max} , λ_{\min} , and χ_{\min} relevant for feature detection?

- What's our feature scoring function?


Corner detection: the math

How are λ_{\max} , χ_{\max} , λ_{\min} , and χ_{\min} relevant for feature detection?


- What's our feature scoring function?

Want $E(u,v)$ to be large for small shifts in all directions


- the minimum of $E(u,v)$ should be large, over all unit vectors $[u \ v]$
- this minimum is given by the smaller eigenvalue (λ_{\min}) of H



I




λ_{\max}



λ_{\min}

Interpreting the eigenvalues

Classification of image points using eigenvalues of M :




Corner detection summary


Here's what you do:

- Compute the gradient at each point in the image
- For each pixel:
 - Create the H matrix from nearby gradient values
 - Compute the eigenvalues.
 - Find points with large response ($\lambda_{\min} > \text{threshold}$)
- Choose those points where λ_{\min} is a local maximum as features


$$H = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$



I



λ_{\max}




λ_{\min}

Corner detection summary

Here's what you do:

- Compute the gradient at each point in the image
- For each pixel:
 - Create the H matrix from nearby gradient values
 - Compute the eigenvalues.
 - Find points with large response ($\lambda_{\min} > \text{threshold}$)
- Choose those points where λ_{\min} is a local maximum as features



The Harris operator

λ_{\min} is a variant of the “Harris operator” for feature detection

$$f = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$
$$= \frac{\mathit{determinant}(H)}{\mathit{trace}(H)}$$


- The *trace* is the sum of the diagonals, i.e., $\mathit{trace}(H) = h_{11} + h_{22}$
- Very similar to λ_{\min} but less expensive (no square root)
- Called the *Harris Corner Detector* or *Harris Operator*
- Lots of other detectors, this is one of the most popular

Alternate Harris operator

- For Project 2, you will use an alternate definition of the Harris operator:

$$R = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - k \cdot (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 = \det(M) - k \cdot \text{tr}(M)^2$$


The Harris operator




Harris detector example




f value (red high, blue low)



Threshold ($f > \text{value}$)



Find local maxima of f (non-max suppression)



Harris features (in red)




Weighting the derivatives

- In practice, using a simple window W doesn't work too well

$$H = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Instead, we'll *weight* each derivative value based on its distance

$$H = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} w_{x,y} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$



$w_{x,y}$

Harris Detector – Recap [Harris88]

Second moment matrix

$$\mu(\sigma_I, \sigma_D) = g(\sigma_I) * \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2(\sigma_D) & I_x I_y(\sigma_D) \\ I_x I_y(\sigma_D) & I_y^2(\sigma_D) \end{bmatrix}$$


$$\det M = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$

$$\text{trace } M = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$


4. Cornerness function – both eigenvalues are strong

5. Non-maxima suppression


0. Input image



1. Image derivatives



2. Square of derivatives




3. Gaussian filter $g(s)$



Harris Corners – Why so complicated?

- Can't we just check for regions with lots of gradients in the x and y directions?
 - No! A diagonal line would satisfy that criteria



Questions?