CS 5220

Single Core Architecture

David Bindel

Logistics

- Bindel missing OH this week (new grad student social)
- Caroline and Evan will still have office hours
- Appointment and Ed are also options!

- Use ticket system for enrollment issues
- HW0 due Sep 5 via CMS
- Add deadline is Sep 9
- We are currently at 125!

Searching for a study buddy or partner? Looking to meet a new friend? Are you taking CS, INFO, or ORIE classes? If so, the CIS Partner Finding Social is for you! This is the PERFECT opportunity to find a partner and meet other students in your classes, so join us on September 11th at 5-7pm in Duffield Atrium! You will be using three systems (if enrolled!) – see email.

- Perlmutter
- Google Cloud Platform

Perlmutter and GCP are Unix environments. Recommended for local work:

- Mac: Terminal and homebrew
- Windows: Windows Subsystem for Linux

You can also develop remotely.

- Perlmutter
 - Fill out the CMS survey for your login ID
 - Make sure you have an MFA token set up
- GCP
 - Request and redeem GCP coupon
 - Try the console on cloud.google.com

You will want to know:

- Covered tools: Compilers, profilers, modules
- Demonstrated: git, make
- "You'll pick up": Unix shell, editors

Resources:

- Software Carpentry
- The Missing Semester
- Cornell virtual workshops

Mythbusters pitch NVidia!

Rage Against the Machine

- Address space of named words
- Basic ops: register read/write, logic, arithmetic
- Everything runs in program order
- High-level language means "obvious" machine code
- All operations take about the same time

Memory operations are *not* all the same!

- Speeds vary (registers and caches)
- Memory layout dramatically affects performance

Instructions are non-obvious!

- Pipelining allows instructions to overlap
- Functional units run in parallel (and out of order)
- Instructions take different amounts of time
- Cost depends on order, instruction mix

Goal: Understand how to help the compiler.

Today, a play in two acts:

- 1. One core is not so serial
- 2. Memory matters

Act 1: Not So Serial

- Three stages: wash, dry, fold
- Three loads: darks, lights, underwear
- How long?

Serial execution:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
wash	dry	fold						
			wash	dry	fold			
						wash	dry	fold

Pipelined execution:

1	2	3	4	5
wash	dry	fold		
	wash	dry	fold	
		wash	dry	fold

Classic five-stage pipeline (MIPS and company)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
IF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB				
	١F	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB			
		IF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB		
			IF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB	
				IF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB

- Fetch read instruction and increment PC
- Decode determine registers and addresses
- Execute where the actual computation occurs
- Memory any memory accesses
- Writeback results into register file

- Improves *bandwidth*, not *latency*
- Potential speedup = number of stages
 - What if there's a branch?



Current versions are much more complicated!

Fetch/decode or retire multiple ops at once

- Limited by instruction mix
 - Different ops use different port
- NB: May dynamically translate to micro-ops

Support multiple HW threads/core

- Independent registers, program counter
- Shared functional units
- Helps feed core independent work

- Internally reorder operations
- Have to *commit* results in order
- May discard uncommited results (speculative execution)
- Limited by data dependencies

- Single Instruction Multiple Data
- $\cdot\,$ Cray-1 (1976): 8 registers $\times\,$ 64 words of 64 bits
- Resurgence in mid-late 90s (for graphics)
- Now short vectors (256-512 bit) are ubiquitous

Different pipelines for different units

- Front-end has a pipeline
- Functional units have their own pipelines
 - Example: FP adder, multiplier
 - Divider often *not* pipelined

- Front-end reads several ops at once
- Ops may act on vectors (SIMD)
- Break into mystery micro-ops (and cache)
- Out-of-order scheduling to functional units
- Pipelining within functional units
- In-order commit of finished ops
- Can discard before commit (speculative execution)

Modern single-core architecture is complex! Desiderata

- Maintain (mostly) serial semantics
 - In-order retirement, precise exceptions
- Make lots of latent parallelism available
 - Wide issue, SIMD, pipelining
- Help programmer/compiler manage complexity
 - Out-of-order execution

Compiler understands CPU in principle

- Rearranges instructions to get a good mix
- Tries to use FMAs, SIMD instructions, etc

Compiler needs help in practice

- Set optimization flags, pragmas, etc
- Make code obvious and predictable
- Expose local independent work
- Use special intrinsics or library routines
- Data layouts, algorithms to suit machine

The goal:

- You handle high-level optimization
- Compiler handles low-level stuff

Note memory layouts are part of your job!

Act 2: Memory Matters

- Memory latency = how long to get a requested item
- Memory bandwidth = steady-state rate
- Bandwidth improves faster than latency
- Inverse bandwidth remains worse the flop rate

Programs usually have *locality*:

- **Spatial locality**: things close to each other tend to be accessed consecutively.
- Temporal locality: we tend to use a "working set" of data repeatedly.

The cache hierarchy is built to take advantage of locality.

- Hide memory costs by reusing data
 - Exploit temporal locality
- Use bandwidth to
 - Fetch by cache line (spatial locality)
 - Support multiple reads
 - Prefetch data

This is mostly automatic and *implicit*.

- Organize in cache *lines* of several bytes
- Cache hit when copy of needed data in cache
- Cache *miss* otherwise. Basic types:
 - Compulsory: never used this data before
 - Capacity: cache full, working set too big
 - Conflict: insufficient associativity for access pattern

Where can data go in cache?

- Direct-mapped: Each address can go in only one location (e.g. store address xxxx1101 only at cache location 1101)
- *n*-way: Each address can go in one of *n* possible cache locations (store up to 16 words with addresses xxx1101 at cache location 1101).

Higher associativity costs more in hardware.

We have ${\cal N}=10^6$ two-dimensional coordinates and want their centroid. Which of these is faster and why?

- 1. Store an array of (x_i,y_i) coordinates. Loop i and simultaneously sum the x_i and the $y_i.$
- 2. Store an array of (x_i, y_i) coordinates. Loop i and sum the x_i , then sum the y_i in a separate loop.
- 3. Store the x_i in one array, the y_i in a second array. Sum the $x_i,$ then sum the $y_i.$

Apple M1 Pro (Firestorm core?)

- 128 KB L1 data cache
- 12 MB L2 cache (shared)
- 24 MB system level cache

```
/* Time the loop with strided access + loop overhead */
int steps = 0;
double start = omp get wtime();
do {
    for (int i = SAMPLE*stride; i != 0; i--)
        for (int index = 0; index < limit; index += stride)</pre>
            x[index]++:
    steps++;
    sec0 = omp get wtime()-start;
} while (sec0 < RTIME);</pre>
```

Membench on My Laptop



Membench on My Laptop



- $\cdot\,$ Vertical: 128 B cache lines (2^6), 16 KB pages (2^{14})
- $\cdot\,$ Horizontal: 128 KB L1 (2^{17}) , 12 MB L2 $(<2^{24})$
- Diagonal: 8-way set assoc, 256 page L1 TLB, 3072 page L2 TLB

Even for simple programs, performance is a complicated function of architecture!

- Need to know a little to write fast programs
- Want simple models to understand efficiency
- Want tricks to help design fast codes
 - Example: *blocking* (also called *tiling*)