

10 Feb 2025

Hoeffding's Inequality and Applications

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are independent RV's

with $X_i \in [a_i, b_i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$

Let $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Then

Hoeffding

$$\begin{cases} \Pr(X \geq \mathbb{E}[X] + \lambda) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\lambda^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right) \\ \Pr(X \leq \mathbb{E}[X] - \lambda) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\lambda^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right) \end{cases}$$

Comparing the power of Chernoff and

Hoeffding: suppose a fair coin is

tossed n times. What is

probab. of getting less than $(\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}) \cdot n$ heads?

CHEARNOFF: $X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i^{\text{th}} \text{ toss is heads} \\ 0 & \text{if } i^{\text{th}} \text{ toss is tails} \end{cases}$

$$X = X_1 + \dots + X_n = \# \text{ heads}$$

$$\mathbb{E}X = \frac{n}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(< (\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}) \cdot n \text{ heads}) &= \Pr(X < (1-\epsilon) \cdot \mathbb{E}[X]) \\ &< \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[X]\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2 n}{4}\right) \end{aligned}$$

HOEFFDING: $\Pr(< (\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}) \cdot n \text{ heads}) = \Pr(X < \mathbb{E}[X] - \frac{\epsilon n}{2})$

$a_i = 0$
 $b_i = 1$

$$< \exp\left(-\frac{2(\epsilon n/2)^2}{\sum (b_i - a_i)^2}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{2\epsilon^2 n^2/4}{n}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2 n}{2}\right)$$

Summary. Chernoff and Hoeffding deliver similar results but:

- (a) Hoeffding usually has better constant factor
- (b) Hoeffding can be applied when variables may be negative or positive
- (c) Chernoff gives good bounds as long as $E[X]$ is large, doesn't care how many variables there are.

Proof (sketch) of Hoeffding. Very similar to Chernoff.

If $X \geq E[X] + \lambda$ then $e^{tX} \geq e^{tE[X]} \cdot e^{t\lambda}$

Use Markov inequality on this event to show it is unlikely.

That means we need to bound $M_X(t) = E[e^{tX}]$ or equivalently $K_X(t) = \ln M_X(t)$.

$$K_X(t) = K_{X_1}(t) + K_{X_2}(t) + \dots + K_{X_n}(t).$$

Hoeffding's Lemma: If X_i is supported on $[a_i, b_i]$

$$\text{then } K_{X_i}(t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(b_i - a_i)^2 t^2}{8}\right).$$

Proof details in online lecture notes.

APPLICATION 1. Generalization error of ERM.

Given n random samples z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n
drawn from distribution \mathcal{D} on set \mathcal{Z} .

Given hypotheses $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$.

Given loss function $L: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

$L(h, z) =$ "how badly does hypoth h
fail to explain data point z ?"

ERM algorithm: select $h \in \mathcal{H}$ to minimize
the empirical average loss

$$L^{\text{emp}}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L(h, z_i).$$

What you really want to minimize is

population loss $\overline{L}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{D}} [L(h, z)].$

Reducing error of randomized algorithms.

Suppose Π is a decision problem.

$$\forall x \quad \Pi(x) \in \{0, 1\}.$$

running in time
 $\text{poly}(|x|)$

Suppose A is a randomized algorithm with
random bits $r \in \{0, 1\}^*$ s.t.

$$\forall x \quad \Pr_r (A(x, r) = \Pi(x)) \geq 2/3.$$

We say $\Pi \in \text{BPP}$ and A is a (poly-time) randomized decision procedure for Π .

Boosting confidence: run A on independent coin toss sequences r_1, \dots, r_m and take majority vote.

Claim. $\forall \delta > 0$ If $m > 18 \ln(1/\delta)$ and we take $\text{MAJ}(A(x, r_1), A(x, r_2), \dots, A(x, r_m))$ then $\Pr(\text{MAJ} \neq \Pi(x)) < \delta$.

Proof. Let $X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A(x, r_i) = \Pi(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

$$\forall i \quad \mathbb{E}[X_i] \geq 2/3.$$

But if $\text{MAJ} \neq \Pi(x)$ it means

$$X_1 + \dots + X_m \leq \frac{m}{2}.$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X_1 + \dots + X_m) \geq 2m/3.$$

$$\Pr(X < \mathbb{E}X - \frac{m}{6}) < \exp\left(-\frac{2(m/6)^2}{m}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{m^2/18}{m}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{m}{18}\right) < \delta$$

