Shortest Paths

Suppose G(V, E) is a graph with weight function $w: E \to R$.

The weight of path $p = (v_0, \dots, v_k)$ is

$$w(p) = w(v_0, v_1) + \cdots + w(v_{k-1}, v_k).$$

We'll be interested in paths of minimum weight from u to v

• usually talk about *shortest paths*, but they're only shortest in *unweighted graphs* (i.e., if all weights are 1)

BFS-SEARCH(s) gives shortest paths from s to any vertex v in unweighted graphs.

• We represent shortest paths implicitly using π , just as in BFS

Various Shortest Path Problems

Single-source shortest-path problem: Given s, find shortest path from s to every vertex $v \in V$

• Chapter 25: Dijkstra's algorithm, Bellman-Ford

Single-destination shortest-path problem: Given t, find shortest path from every vertex v to t

- Single-source shortest-path problem from s in G = single-destination shortest-path problem to s in G^T
- Single-destination = single-source in undirected graphs

 $Single-pair\ shortest-path\ problem:$ Find shortest path between s and t

• The best algorithms for this use the single-source shortest-path algorithm

All-pairs shortest-path problem: Find shortest path from s to t for all $s, t \in V$

- Could run single-source shortest-path algorithm for each s, but there are (sometimes) better ways
- Chapter 26

Negative-weight edges

We allow weights to be negative.

• E.g., the weight between u and v could be the gain/loss of taking the action that gets you from u to v

Shortest paths are not well defined in graphs with negative-weight cycles:

• The more times we go around the cycle, the "shorter" the path

We can take $\delta(s, v) = -\infty$ if there is a negativeweight cycle on a path from s to v.

Lemma: If there is an edge from $(u, v) \in E$, then

$$\delta(s, v) \le \delta(s, u) + w(u, v).$$

Proof: One way of getting from s to v is to go from s to u and then from u to v.

• There may be better ways.

Relaxation

Both Dijkstra's algorithm and Bellman-Ford's algorithm use a technique called *relaxation*. Idea:

- Initialize d[v] to ∞ , $\pi[v]$ to NIL
- Test if we can improve d[v] by going through u
 - \circ This makes sense only if there is an edge (u, v)
 - \circ The process of checking is called relaxing (u, v)

Initialize-Single-Source(G, s)

```
1 for each vertex v \in V[G]
```

2 **do**
$$d[v] \leftarrow \infty$$

$$3 \qquad \pi[v] \leftarrow \text{NIL}$$

$$4 \quad d[s] \leftarrow 0$$

Relax(u, v, w) $[(u, v) \in E[G], \text{ weight function } w]$

1 **if**
$$d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$$

2 then
$$d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + w(u, v)$$

$$3 \qquad \pi[v] \leftarrow u$$

Properties of Relaxation

Relaxation Property: If we start with Initialize-Single-Source (G, s), then $d[v] \geq \delta(s, v)$, no matter how often we call Relax. If d[v] is ever $\delta(s, v)$, it never changes again.

Proof: This is true initially (since $d[v] = \infty$ unless v = s).

If the property was true before Relax(u, v, w), it is true after:

- If $d[v] \leq d[u] + w(u, v)$, Relax(u, v, w) doesn't change anything
- If d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v) before, then after Relax(u, v, w), $d[v] = d[u] + w(u, v) \ge \delta(s, u) + w(u, v) \ge \delta(s, v).$

Since d[v] never increases after a Relax, if it hits $\delta(s,v)$, it does not change again.

The Relaxation Property holds even with negative weights.

Dijkstra's Algorithm

Dijkstra's algorithm solves single-source shortest-path problems if all weights are nonnegative.

Idea:

- ullet Maintain list S of vertices whose shortest path has already been computed
 - \circ We add elements to S in order of their distance from s
 - \circ For $v \in S$, we have $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$
- Find vertex $v' \in V S$ that has current minimum d value
 - \circ Maintain elements of V-S in a priority queue, keyed by d values
- Add v' to S
- Update all values of d for remaining elements of V-S

```
DIJKSTRA(G, w, s)

1 INITIALIZE-SINGLE-SOURCE(G, s)

2 S \leftarrow \emptyset

3 Q \leftarrow V[G]

4 while Q \neq \emptyset

5 do u \leftarrow \text{Extract-Min}(Q)

6 S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}

7 for each vertex v \in Adj[u]

8 do Relax(u, v, w)
```

Example

Dijkstra's Algorithm: Analysis

In Dijkstra's algorithm, we do

- \bullet |V| Extract-Mins
- $\leq |E|$ Relaxes ($\leq 2|E|$ in the unordered case)

Thus, the running time depends on how we implement the priority queue.

- If we use an array
 - \circ Extract-Min takes time O(|V|)
 - \circ Relax takes time O(1)
 - \circ Total running time: $O(|V|^2 + |E|) = O(|V|^2)$
- If we use binary heap
 - \circ Extract-Min takes time $O(\lg |V|)$
 - \circ Relax takes time $O(\lg |V|)$
 - * Need to perform Decrease-Keys to update priority queue
 - \circ Total running time: $O((|V| + |E|) \lg |V|)$
- If we use Fibonacci heaps (Chapter 21)
 - $\circ |E|$ Decrease-Keys take time O(|E|)
 - \circ Total running time: $O(|V| \lg |V| + |E|)$