

# Number Theory

*Mathematics is the queen of sciences and number theory is the queen of mathematics.*

– Carl Friedrich Gauss

But why is it computer science?

- ▶ It turns out to be critical for cryptography!

## Division

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ ,  $a$  divides  $b$  if there is some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b = ac$ .

- ▶ Notation:  $a \mid b$
- ▶ Examples:  $3 \mid 9$ ,  $3 \nmid 7$

If  $a \mid b$ , then  $a$  is a *factor* of  $b$ ,  $b$  is a *multiple* of  $a$ .

**Theorem 1:** If  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

1. if  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$  then  $a \mid (b + c)$ .
2. If  $a \mid b$  then  $a \mid (bc)$
3. If  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c$  then  $a \mid c$  (divisibility is transitive).

**Proof:** How do you prove this? Use the definition!

## Division

For  $a, b \in Z$ ,  $a \neq 0$ ,  $a$  divides  $b$  if there is some  $c \in Z$  such that  $b = ac$ .

- ▶ Notation:  $a \mid b$
- ▶ Examples:  $3 \mid 9$ ,  $3 \nmid 7$

If  $a \mid b$ , then  $a$  is a *factor* of  $b$ ,  $b$  is a *multiple* of  $a$ .

**Theorem 1:** If  $a, b, c \in Z$ , then

1. if  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$  then  $a \mid (b + c)$ .
2. If  $a \mid b$  then  $a \mid (bc)$
3. If  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c$  then  $a \mid c$  (divisibility is transitive).

**Proof:** How do you prove this? Use the definition!

- ▶ E.g., if  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$ , then, for some  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ ,

$$b = ad_1 \text{ and } c = ad_2.$$

- ▶ That means  $b + c = a(d_1 + d_2)$
- ▶ So  $a \mid (b + c)$ .

Other parts: homework.

## Division

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ ,  $a$  divides  $b$  if there is some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b = ac$ .

- ▶ Notation:  $a \mid b$
- ▶ Examples:  $3 \mid 9$ ,  $3 \nmid 7$

If  $a \mid b$ , then  $a$  is a *factor* of  $b$ ,  $b$  is a *multiple* of  $a$ .

**Theorem 1:** If  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

1. if  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$  then  $a \mid (b + c)$ .
2. If  $a \mid b$  then  $a \mid (bc)$
3. If  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c$  then  $a \mid c$  (divisibility is transitive).

**Proof:** How do you prove this? Use the definition!

- ▶ E.g., if  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$ , then, for some  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ ,

$$b = ad_1 \text{ and } c = ad_2.$$

- ▶ That means  $b + c = a(d_1 + d_2)$
- ▶ So  $a \mid (b + c)$ .

Other parts: homework.

**Corollary 1:** If  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$ , then  $a \mid (mb + nc)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## The division algorithm

**Theorem 2:** For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d > 0$ , there exist unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = q \cdot d + r$  and  $0 \leq r < d$ .

▶  $r$  is the remainder when  $a$  is divided by  $d$

**Notation:**  $r \equiv a \pmod{d}$ ;  $a \bmod d = r$

# The division algorithm

**Theorem 2:** For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d > 0$ , there exist unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = q \cdot d + r$  and  $0 \leq r < d$ .

- ▶  $r$  is the remainder when  $a$  is divided by  $d$

**Notation:**  $r \equiv a \pmod{d}$ ;  $a \bmod d = r$

## Examples:

- ▶ Dividing 101 by 11 gives a quotient of 9 and a remainder of 2, so  $101 \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$  and  $101 \bmod 11 = 2$ .
- ▶ Dividing 18 by 6 gives a quotient of 3 and a remainder of 0, so  $18 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  and  $18 \bmod 6 = 0$ .

# The division algorithm

**Theorem 2:** For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d > 0$ , there exist unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = q \cdot d + r$  and  $0 \leq r < d$ .

- ▶  $r$  is the remainder when  $a$  is divided by  $d$

**Notation:**  $r \equiv a \pmod{d}$ ;  $a \bmod d = r$

**Examples:**

- ▶ Dividing 101 by 11 gives a quotient of 9 and a remainder of 2, so  $101 \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$  and  $101 \bmod 11 = 2$ .
- ▶ Dividing 18 by 6 gives a quotient of 3 and a remainder of 0, so  $18 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  and  $18 \bmod 6 = 0$ .

**Proof:** The proof is constructive: We define  $q, r$  explicitly:

Let  $q = \lfloor a/d \rfloor$  and define  $r = a - q \cdot d$ .

- ▶ So  $a = q \cdot d + r$  with  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 \leq r < d$  (since  $q \cdot d \leq a$ ).

But why are  $q$  and  $d$  unique?

# The division algorithm

**Theorem 2:** For  $a \in Z$  and  $d \in N$ ,  $d > 0$ , there exist unique  $q, r \in Z$  such that  $a = q \cdot d + r$  and  $0 \leq r < d$ .

- ▶  $r$  is the remainder when  $a$  is divided by  $d$

**Notation:**  $r \equiv a \pmod{d}$ ;  $a \bmod d = r$

## Examples:

- ▶ Dividing 101 by 11 gives a quotient of 9 and a remainder of 2, so  $101 \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$  and  $101 \bmod 11 = 2$ .
- ▶ Dividing 18 by 6 gives a quotient of 3 and a remainder of 0, so  $18 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  and  $18 \bmod 6 = 0$ .

**Proof:** The proof is constructive: We define  $q, r$  explicitly:

Let  $q = \lfloor a/d \rfloor$  and define  $r = a - q \cdot d$ .

- ▶ So  $a = q \cdot d + r$  with  $q \in Z$  and  $0 \leq r < d$  (since  $q \cdot d \leq a$ ).

But why are  $q$  and  $d$  unique?

- ▶ Suppose  $q \cdot d + r = q' \cdot d + r'$  with  $q', r' \in Z$  and  $0 \leq r' < d$ .
- ▶ Then  $(q' - q)d = (r - r')$  with  $-d < r - r' < d$ .
- ▶ The lhs is divisible by  $d$  so  $r = r'$  and we're done.



# Primes

- ▶ If  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p > 1$  is *prime* if its only positive factors are 1 and  $p$ .
- ▶  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is *composite* if  $n > 1$  and  $n$  is not prime.
  - ▶ If  $n$  is composite then  $a \mid n$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 < a < n$
  - ▶ Can assume that  $a \leq \sqrt{n}$ .
    - ▶ **Proof:** By contradiction:  
Suppose  $n = bc$ ,  $b > \sqrt{n}$ ,  $c > \sqrt{n}$ . But then  $bc > n$ , a contradiction.

Primes: 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, ...

Composites: 4, 6, 8, 9, ...

# Primality testing

How can we tell if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is prime?

# Primality testing

How can we tell if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is prime?

The naive approach: check if  $k \mid n$  for every  $1 < k < n$ .

- ▶ But at least  $10^{m-1}$  numbers are  $\leq n$ , if  $n$  has  $m$  digits
  - ▶ 1000 numbers less than 1000 (a 4-digit number)
  - ▶ 1,000,000 less than 1,000,000 (a 7-digit number)

So the algorithm is *exponential time*!

We can do a little better

- ▶ Skip the even numbers
- ▶ That saves a factor of 2  $\rightarrow$  not good enough
- ▶ Try only primes (Sieve of Eratosthenes)
  - ▶ Still doesn't help much

# Primality testing

How can we tell if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is prime?

The naive approach: check if  $k \mid n$  for every  $1 < k < n$ .

- ▶ But at least  $10^{m-1}$  numbers are  $\leq n$ , if  $n$  has  $m$  digits
  - ▶ 1000 numbers less than 1000 (a 4-digit number)
  - ▶ 1,000,000 less than 1,000,000 (a 7-digit number)

So the algorithm is *exponential time*!

We can do a little better

- ▶ Skip the even numbers
- ▶ That saves a factor of 2  $\rightarrow$  not good enough
- ▶ Try only primes (Sieve of Eratosthenes)
  - ▶ Still doesn't help much

We can do much better:

- ▶ There is a polynomial time *randomized* algorithm
  - ▶ We will discuss this when we talk about probability
- ▶ In 2002, Agarwal, Saxena, and Kayal gave a (nonprobabilistic) polynomial time algorithm
  - ▶ Saxena and Kayal were undergrads in 2002!

# The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

**Theorem 3:** Every natural number  $n > 1$  can be uniquely represented as a product of primes, written in nondecreasing size.

- ▶ Examples:  $54 = 2 \cdot 3^3$ ,  $100 = 2^2 \cdot 5^2$ ,  $15 = 3 \cdot 5$ .

Proving that that  $n$  can be written as a product of primes is easy (by strong induction):

- ▶ Base case: 2 is the product of primes (just 2)
- ▶ Inductive step: If  $n > 2$  is prime, we are done. If not,  $n = ab$ .
  - ▶ Must have  $a < n$ ,  $b < n$ .
  - ▶ By I.H., both  $a$  and  $b$  can be written as a product of primes
  - ▶ So  $n$  is product of primes

Proving uniqueness is harder.

- ▶ We'll do that in a few days ...