

- 17  $\vdash (A \leftrightarrow B) \leftrightarrow (B \leftrightarrow A)$
- 18  $\vdash (A \rightarrow B) \wedge (C \rightarrow B) \leftrightarrow (A \vee C \rightarrow B)$
- 19  $\vdash (A \rightarrow B) \wedge (A \rightarrow C) \leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow B \wedge C)$
- 20  $\vdash (A \rightarrow B) \leftrightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A)$
- 21  $\vdash A \vee B \leftrightarrow B \vee A$
- 22  $\vdash A \wedge B \leftrightarrow B \wedge A$
- 23  $\vdash (A \vee B) \vee C \leftrightarrow A \vee (B \vee C)$
- 24  $\vdash (A \wedge B) \wedge C \leftrightarrow A \wedge (B \wedge C)$
- 25  $\vdash A \vee (B \wedge C) \leftrightarrow (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$
- 26  $\vdash A \wedge (B \vee C) \leftrightarrow (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$
- 27  $\vdash A \vee A \leftrightarrow A$
- 28  $\vdash A \wedge A \leftrightarrow A$
- 29  $\vdash \neg(A \vee B) \leftrightarrow \neg A \wedge \neg B$
- 30  $\vdash \neg(A \wedge B) \leftrightarrow \neg A \vee \neg B$
- 31  $\vdash A \rightarrow B \leftrightarrow \neg A \vee B$
- 32  $\vdash A \rightarrow B \leftrightarrow \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$
- 33  $\vdash A \vee B \leftrightarrow \neg A \rightarrow B$
- 34  $\vdash A \vee B \leftrightarrow \neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B)$
- 35  $\vdash A \wedge B \leftrightarrow \neg(A \rightarrow \neg B)$
- 36  $\vdash A \wedge B \leftrightarrow \neg(\neg A \vee \neg B)$
- 37  $\vdash (A \leftrightarrow B) \leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A)$

Actual derivations in propositional logic are given as sequences of logical expressions coupled with a rule justifying that expression:

- rule p the expression is a premise,
- rule t  $\exists$  expressions  $P_1, \dots, P_m$  preceding this expression  $Q$  in the sequence and  $\vdash P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_m \rightarrow Q$ .

Example

Show that  $A \vee B, A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow D \vdash C \vee D$ .

	(1)	$P_1: A \rightarrow C$	rule p
	(1)	$P_2: A \vee B \rightarrow C \vee B$	rule t and tautology 11 $\vdash P_1 \rightarrow P_2$
the lines of the derivation on which this line depends $\rightarrow$	(3)	$P_3: B \rightarrow D$	rule p
	(3)	$P_4: C \vee B \rightarrow C \vee D$	rule t and tautology 11 $\vdash P_3 \rightarrow P_4$
	(1, 3)	$P_5: A \vee B \rightarrow C \vee D$	rule t and tautology 7 $\vdash P_2 \wedge P_4 \rightarrow P_5$
	(6)	$P_6: A \vee B$	rule p
	(1, 3, 6)	$P_7: C \vee D$	rule t and tautology 1 $\vdash P_5 \wedge P_6 \rightarrow P_7$

Since we've used our (partial!) list of tautologies as stepping stones in our sequence of derivations, it's worth noting that some have acquired special names; e.g. tautology #1 is called modus ponens, and #2 modus tollens