

1.A Handout 5

A. Section 1.7

$$2(a) a_8 = 2^{8-1} = 2^7 = 128.$$

$$(b) a_8 = 7.$$

$$(c) a_8 = 1 + (-1)^8 = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

$$(d) a_8 = -(-2)^8 = -256.$$

10 (a) $a_0 = 3$, $a_n = (2n + 1) + a_{n-1}$ will produce the sequence. Also, $a_n = n^2 + 2$ will work, if we begin the sequence with a_1 .

(c) $a_n = (n + 1)$ written in binary, with no leading zeroes. (Note you are only required to give a rule, and not necessarily a formula.) If we start with a_1 , then clearly $a_n = n$.

(f) $a_0 = 1$, $a_n = (2n + 1) \cdot a_{n-1}$ works, or $a_n = (2n + 1)! / (2^n n!)$, if we begin the sequence with a_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} 16 (b) \sum_{j=0}^8 (3^j - 2^j) &= \sum_{j=0}^8 3^j - \sum_{j=0}^8 2^j \\ &= (3^{8+1} - 1)/2 - (2^{8+1} - 1)/1 \text{ (rule for geometric series)} \\ &= 9841 - 511 = 9330. \end{aligned}$$

$$18 (c) \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=0}^2 j = \sum_{i=1}^3 (0 + 1 + 2) = 3 \cdot 3 = 9.$$

$$(d) \sum_{i=0}^2 \sum_{j=0}^3 i^2 \cdot j^3 = \sum_{i=0}^2 i^2 (\sum_{j=0}^3 j^3)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^2 i^2 \left(\frac{3^2(3+1)^2}{4} \right) \text{ (Table 2, p76)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^2 i^2 \cdot 36 = 36 \sum_{i=0}^2 i^2$$

$$= 36 \cdot \frac{2(2+1)(2 \cdot 2 + 1)}{6} = 36 \cdot 5 = 180.$$

B.

The sum of all odd numbers from 1 to 99 is: the sum of 1 to 99, subtracting the sum of even numbers from 2 to 98.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{99} k - \sum_{k=1}^{49} 2k = \sum_{k=1}^{99} k - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{49} k = \frac{99 \cdot 100}{2} - (49 \cdot 50) = 99 \cdot 50 - 49 \cdot 25 = 4950 - 2450 = 2500 = 50^2.$$

So the sum is a perfect square.

(Of course, there are other ways to show this as well...)

1.B Handout 6

A. Section 1.8

$$2. (a) \text{ Let } C = 18, k = 11. \text{ Then for } x > k, f(x) = 17x + 11 = 17x + k < 17x + x = (17+1)x = Cx \leq Cx^2.$$

$$(b) \text{ Let } C = 2, k = 1000. \text{ Then for } x > k, f(x) = x^2 + 1000 = x^2 + k < x^2 + x < x^2 + x^2 = C \cdot x^2.$$

(c) We assume the log is of base 2. Let $C = 1, k = 1$. Then for any $x > k$, $\log_2 x < x$. (We won't prove this here.) Therefore $x \cdot \log_2 x < C \cdot x^2$.

(d) $f(x) = x^4/2$ is not $O(x^2)$. For any $C, k > 0$ (integers), let $x = k \cdot C \cdot 2$. Then $x > k$, and $x^4/2 = x^2 \cdot (x^2/2) = x^2 \cdot (k \cdot C \cdot 2)^2/2 > 2C^2/2 \cdot x^2 \geq C \cdot x^2$.

(e) $f(x) = 2^x$ is not $O(x^2)$. Suppose it is. Then 2^x is $O(2^{2 \log x})$. Since $\log x$ is not $O(x)$, as is referenced in (c), we have that $2 \log x$ is not $O(x)$, which gives us the result.

(f) $f(x) = \lceil x \rceil \cdot \lfloor x \rfloor$ is $O(x^2)$. Let $C = 2, k = 1$. Then for any $x > k$, $\lceil x \rceil \cdot \lfloor x \rfloor \leq x \cdot \lceil x \rceil < x \cdot 2x = Cx^2$.

8a.

$f(x)$ is $O(x^4)$. It is not $O(x^3)$ since one of its terms, $x^3 \log x$, is asymptotically larger than x^3 .

8b.

$f(x)$ is $O(x^5)$. The polylogarithmic factor (i.e. $(\log x)^4$) is $O(x)$, so it can be ignored.

20a.

$f(x)$ is $O(x^3 \log x)$. This term is the dominant one when the terms of the function are expanded.

20b.

$f(x)$ is $O(2^n \cdot 3^n) = O(6^n)$. This is clearly the largest term when the terms of function are expanded.

28a.

Let $C_1 = 1, C_2 = 2, k = 2$. Then for any $x > k > 0$,

$$C_1 \cdot 3x^2 \leq 3x^2 + x + 1 \leq 3x^2 + x^2 = 4x^2 \leq 6x^2 = C_2 \cdot 3x^2.$$

In the preceding exercises, if you have a &1 marked on your sheet, it means you did not prove some result you were supposed to prove.

B.

Claim: $2^n = O(n!)$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = 2^x, g(x) = x!, C = 1$, and $k = 3$. Given x , if we assume $x > 3$, then

$$|f(x)| = |2^x| = 2^x = 2 \cdot 2 \cdots \cdot 2 \leq x \cdot (x-1) \cdots \cdot 2 = x! = C \cdot g(x)$$

where $\cdots \cdot 2$ means that the total quantity is iterated x times.

On the left hand side of the \leq , 2 is multiplied x times. On the right hand side, $x - 1$ numbers *greater than 2* are multiplied, and 2 is multiplied with that. So for each 2 in the product on the left hand side, there is a corresponding number in the right hand side product which is ≥ 2 .

□

Claim: $n!$ is not $O(2^n)$.

Proof. Here is one short proof; there are of course many others. Given $C, k > 0$, (C and k integers), let $x = k \cdot 2C + 3$. Clearly, $x > k$. We must show that $|x!| = x! > C \cdot 2^x$.

$$x! = (k \cdot 2C + 3)! = (k \cdot 2C + 3)(k \cdot 2C + 2)!$$

$$\geq (k \cdot 2C + 3) \cdot 2^{k \cdot 2C + 2} \quad (\text{by the previous problem, and } k \cdot 2C + 2 > 3)$$

$$> C \cdot 2^1 \cdot 2^{k \cdot 2C + 2}$$

$$= C \cdot 2^x.$$

□

1.C Handout 7

A. Section 2.1

24

We allow an “output” command in which the algorithm can output part of the final answer at that point in the program.

procedure modfind(a_1, \dots, a_n : nondecreasing integers)

```

ints := 0
{ compute matrices containing each integer in the sequence and a corresponding count of how many
times the integer occurs }
for  $i := 1$  to  $n$ 
  int[ $i$ ] :=  $\infty$  ( $\infty =$  some unique value different from all integers)
  count[ $i$ ] := 0
for  $i := 1$  to  $n$ 
  flag :=  $\top$ 
  highcount := 0;
  for  $j := 1$  to ints
    if  $a_i = \text{int}[j]$  then count[ $i$ ] := count[ $i$ ] + 1; flag :=  $\perp$ 
    if count[ $j$ ] > highcount then highcount := count[ $j$ ]
    if flag then ints := ints + 1; int[ints] :=  $a_i$ ; count[ints] := 1
  { output any integer with a count equal to the last computed highcount; these are the modes }
for  $j := 1$  to ints
  if count[ $j$ ] = highcount then output int[ $j$ ]

```

B. Section 2.2

2

procedure sortfour(a_1, \dots, a_n : elements of a list with a linear order)

$S := \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$

for $i = 1$ to 4

{ recall that $\min(S)$ = the minimum element in S }

$A_i := \min(S)$

$S := S - \{A_i\}$

{ the sequence A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 is sorted in increasing order }

The key observation is that the for loop requires four iterations no matter what n is. Every step of this algorithm is wholly independent of the input size. Counting each non-commented line as a step, 13 steps are always taken. Thus we have $O(1)$ time complexity.

6b

Each bitwise AND removes exactly one 1 from S , since $S := S \wedge (S - 1)$ simply amounts to changing the rightmost 1 to a 0 ...

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
 & & & & & \downarrow & & & & & \\
 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & S \\
 \wedge & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & S - 1 \\
 \hline
 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \text{new } S \\
 & & & & & \uparrow & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Pairing each bitwise AND with the 1 that it eliminates, we immediately see that the number of bitwise ANDs is equal to the number of 1's in the input string.

[To get a coarser estimate, one that refers only to the size of the input, we can note that a bit string of length n can have at most n 1's, so the worst case number of bitwise ANDs is clearly $O(n)$.]

18

This will vary depending on what your algorithm was, obviously. The largest bottleneck in our algorithm are the nested for loops, the outer loop ranging from 1.. n , the inner loop ranging from 1..int (a quantity which can be as large as n). Thus our running time is $O(n^2)$.