CS280 Homework 1 Solution Set by Ryan Williams

Preliminary note: I am trying to be very precise, since this is the beginning of the class and these logical aspects being covered are very crucial for understanding the material in the rest of the course. If you have any questions about these solutions, please email me at rrw9@cornell.edu.

All problems were graded out of 5 points.

A. 1.1

25c Truth table for $(p \to q) \lor (\neg p \to r)$.

p	q	r	$(p \to q) \lor (\neg p \to r)$
\overline{T}	T	Т	T T T T T F T T
T	T	F	T T T T T F T F
T	F	T	T F F T F T T
T	F	F	T F F T F T F
F	T	T	F T T T T T T T
F	T	F	F T T T T F F
F	F	T	F T F T T T T
F	F	F	FTFTFF

25d

Truth table for $(p \to q) \land (\neg p \to r)$.

p	q	r	$(p \to q) \lor (\neg p \to r)$
T	Т	T	T T T T T F T T
T	T	F	T T T T T F T F
T	F	T	T F F F F F T T
T	F	F	T F F F F F T F
F	T	T	F T T T T T T T
F	T	F	F T T F T F F
F	F	T	F T F T T T T
F	F	F	FTFFTFF

42b

Exactly one is lying. Since the statements of Carlos and Diana contradict each other, one of them is the liar. Therefore, Alice is telling the truth, since there is only one liar. She says Carlos did it, so he did.

B. 1.2

12

We show $(\neg p \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q)$ is not a tautology. We use a truth table here. To see an example of a proof using identities, see the solution for 14.

14

Show $p \leftrightarrow q \iff (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$.

As in the previous problem, we can use identities or truth tables. We use identities because it is more informative to you, although it is longer than a truth table proof.

 $\begin{array}{ll} p \leftrightarrow q \iff (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p) & (\text{definition}) \\ \iff (\neg p \lor q) \land (\neg q \lor p) & (\text{since } \neg A \lor B \iff A \rightarrow B) \\ \iff (\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (\neg p \land p) \lor (q \land \neg q) \lor (q \land p) & (\text{distributivity}) \\ \iff (\neg p \land \neg q) \lor F \lor F \lor (q \land p) & (\text{since } (\neg A \land A) \iff F) \\ \iff (\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land p) & (F \text{ is an identity for } \lor) \\ \iff (\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (p \land q) & (\text{commutativity}) \\ \iff (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q) & (\text{commutativity}) \end{array}$

(Note: The next two problems have informal proofs, since induction hasn't been introduced yet!) 28

Given a formula F using any of $\lor, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \oplus$, we wish to rewrite F so that only \neg and \land are used. We do this as follows.

Wherever an expression of the form $P \lor Q$ occurs in F, we replace this expression with $\neg(\neg P \land \neg Q)$, which is an equivalent expression due to deMorgan's law.

If something of the form $P \to Q$ occurs in F, we replace the expression with $\neg (P \land \neg Q)$. This is equivalent due to the identity $P \to Q \iff \neg P \lor Q$ and deMorgan's law.

For $P \leftrightarrow Q$, we replace this expression with $\neg(P \land \neg Q) \land \neg(\neg P \land Q)$, since it is equivalent to $(P \rightarrow Q) \land (Q \rightarrow P)$.

For $P \oplus Q$, we use $\neg(\neg P \land \neg Q) \land \neg(P \land Q)$, since the expression is true when neither P = Q = false, nor P = Q = true.

The resulting formula after all of these replacements uses only \neg and \wedge .

29

We know that using only \neg and \land , we can express the other operators. Therefore, if we show that the \land operator can be expressed using \neg and \lor , then everything can be expressed using \neg and \lor . But by deMorgan's law, $P \land Q \iff \neg(\neg P \lor \neg Q)$. So the algorithm is the following:

Given a formula F to convert to use only \neg and \lor operators:

(1) Use the proof of 28 to convert F to use only \neg and \land operators.

(2) Use deMorgan's law to change the \land s to expressions using \neg and \lor .

The result is a formula using only \neg and \lor .

С.

A straightforward use of Theorem 1.6 on the first handout yields: $Q(p,q,r) = (\neg p \land \neg q \land r) \lor (p \land q \land \neg r).$