

1. Reading: K. Rosen *Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications*, 7.3
2. The main message of this lecture:

**Matrices are a universal mechanism of representing graphs.**

**Definition 30.1.** Let  $G$  be a finite graph or any kind (simple graph, multigraph, pseudograph, both directed or undirected) with the set of vertices  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . The **adjacency matrix**  $A_G$  of  $G = (V, E)$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $[a_{ij}]$  whose entries  $a_{ij}$  are the number of edges going from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ . The convention is that an undirected edge  $\{v_i, v_j\}$  counts both as going from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  and as going from  $v_j$  to  $v_i$ .

It is immediate that the entries of an adjacency matrix are nonnegative integers. In the case of *simple graph*  $G$  the adjacency matrix  $A_G$  is a bit matrix with the entries

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if there } \{v_i, v_j\} \text{ is an edge} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the diagonal entries  $a_{ii} = 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  (reflecting the fact that  $G$  has no loops), and  $A_G$  is symmetric, i.e.  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  (since  $\{v_i, v_j\} = \{v_j, v_i\}$ ).

**Example 30.2.** The adjacency matrix of the cycle  $C_3$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For a *multigraph*  $G$  (parallel edges are allowed) the entries of the adjacency matrix may be any nonnegative integer, but the diagonals are still 0's and  $A_G$  is still symmetric. For a *pseudograph*  $G$  the diagonal entries are not necessarily zero, but  $A_G$  is still symmetric. For a directed graph the symmetry of  $A_G$  is no longer required.

**Example 30.3.** Draw a (directed ...)graph  $G$  having the adjacency matrix  $A_G$  below:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{the answer is on lecture slides})$$

**Definition 30.4.** **Adjacency list** of a graph  $G$  without multiple edges is the list specifying the vertices that are adjacent to each vertex of  $G$ . For example, the following adjacency matrix and adjacency list represent the same directed graph

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	<u>Initial Vertex</u>	<u>Terminal Vertices</u>
	$a$	$a, b, c$
	$b$	$a, c$
	$c$	$b, c$

Adjacency lists are practical for **sparse** adjacency matrices, that is, matrices with few nonzero entries.

**Definition 30.5.** Let  $G$  be a finite undirected graph (multigraph, pseudograph) with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  and edges  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$ . The **incidence matrix** of  $G$  is a bit  $n \times m$  matrix  $[m_{ij}]$  with the entries

$$m_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when edge } e_j \text{ is incident with } v_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Example 30.6.** The following incidence matrix represents the wheel  $W_4$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The next notion we have to discuss is the **graph isomorphism**. Before considering a formal definition below let us remember that there is a good intuition of the graph isomorphism: two graphs are isomorphic if renaming vertices (if necessary) of one of them can make it equal to the other. Example: the complete graph  $K_4$  with the vertices  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  is isomorphic to the wheel  $W_3$  with the peripheral elements  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  and the center  $c$ . Here any renaming works, e.g.  $a_1 \mapsto p_1, a_2 \mapsto p_2, a_3 \mapsto p_3, a_4 \mapsto c$ . Another classical example: the cycle  $C_5$  and the 5-star.

**Definition 30.7.** Two simple graphs  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  are **isomorphic** if there is a one-to-one correspondence  $f$  between vertices of the two graphs that preserves the adjacency relationship:  $a$  and  $b$  are adjacent in  $G_1$  if and only if  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$  are adjacent in  $G_2$ .

Establishing isomorphism of graphs is a computational hard problem since there are  $n!$  distinct one-to-one correspondences between two sets of vertices of cardinality  $n$ . However, there are some simple tricks that help establishing *non-isomorphism* of given graphs. The generic name for those tricks is **invariants**, i.e. the properties of graph that should be preserved under isomorphism, if any. Examples of those invariants for simple graphs are: the number of vertices, the number of edges, the set of possible degrees of vertices, the number of vertices having a given degree, etc.

**Homework assignments.** (The first installment due Friday 04/20)

30A:Rosen7.3-4; 30B:Rosen7.3-12; 30C:Rosen7.3-20; 30D:Rosen7.3-38; 30E:Rosen7.3-42.