

## Grammars & Parsing

Lecture 12 CS 2112 – Fall 2018

# **Motivation**

The cat ate the rat.

…

The cat ate the rat slowly.

- The small cat ate the big rat slowly.
- The small cat ate the big rat on the mat slowly.
- The small cat that sat in the hat ate the big rat on the mat slowly.
- The small cat that sat in the hat ate the big rat on the mat slowly, then got sick.
- Not all sequences of words are legal sentences
	- The ate cat rat the
- How many legal sentences are there?
- How many legal programs are there?
- Are all Java programs that compile legal programs?
- How do we know what programs are legal?

http://java.sun.com/docs/books/jls/third\_edition/html/syntax.html

## A Grammar

Sentence ::= Noun Verb Noun Noun ::= boys | girls | bunnies Verb  $\cdots$  like | see

- Our sample grammar has these rules:
	- A Sentence can be a Noun followed by a Verb followed by a Noun
	- A Noun can be 'boys' or 'girls' or 'bunnies'
	- § A Verb can be 'like' or 'see'
- Examples of Sentence:
	- § boys see bunnies
	- § bunnies like girls

 $\blacksquare$ 

- Grammar: set of rules for generating sentences in a language
- White space between words does not matter
- The words boys, girls, bunnies, like, see are called *tokens* or *terminals*
- The words Sentence, Noun, Verb are called *syntactic classes* or *nonterminals*
- This is a very boring grammar because the set of Sentences is finite (exactly 18)

## A Recursive Grammar

Sentence ::= Sentence and Sentence Sentence or Sentence Noun Verb Noun Noun ::= boys | girls | bunnies  $Verb$  ::= like | see

• This grammar is more interesting than the last one because the set of Sentences is infinite

- Examples of Sentences in this language:
	- boys like girls
	- boys like girls and girls like bunnies
	- **boys like girls and girls like** bunnies and girls like bunnies
	- boys like girls and girls like bunnies and girls like bunnies and girls like bunnies

 $\blacksquare$ 

- What makes this set infinite? Answer:
	- Recursive definition of Sentence

#### **Detour**

What if we want to add a period at the end of every sentence?

Sentence ::= Sentence and Sentence **.**

| Sentence or Sentence **.**

| Noun Verb Noun **.**

Noun ::= ...

- Does this work?
- No! This produces sentences like:

girls like boys . and boys like bunnies . .



#### Sentences with Periods

TopLevelSentence ::= Sentence . Sentence ::= Sentence and Sentence Sentence or Sentence | Noun Verb Noun Noun ::= boys | girls | bunnies Verb ::= like see

- Add a new rule that adds a period only at the end of the sentence.
- The tokens here are the 7 words plus the period (.)
- This grammar is ambiguous: boys like girls and girls like boys or girls like bunnies

# Grammar for Simple Expressions

- $E$  ::= integer |  $(E + E)$
- Simple expressions:
	- An E can be an integer.
	- An E can be '(' followed by an E followed by '+' followed by an E followed by ')'
- Set of expressions defined by this grammar is an inductively-defined set
	- Is the language finite or infinite?
	- § Do recursive grammars always yield infinite languages?
- Here are some legal expressions:
	- § 2
	- $(3 + 34)$
	- $(4+23) + 89$
	- $(89 + 23) + (23 + (34 + 12))$

- Here are some illegal expressions:
	- $\blacksquare$  (3)
	- $\blacksquare$  3 + 4
- The *tokens* in this grammar are  $($ ,  $+$ ,  $)$ , and any integer

# Parsing

- Grammars can be used in two ways
	- § A grammar defines a *language* (i.e., the set of properly structured *sentences*)
	- A grammar can be used to *parse* a *sentence* (thus, checking if the *sentence* is in the *language*)
- To *parse* a sentence is to build a *parse tree*
	- § This is much like *diagramming a sentence*

• Example: Show that  $((4+23) + 89)$ is a valid expression E by building a *parse tree*



# **Ambiguity**

- Grammar is **ambiguous** if some strings have more than one parse tree
- Example: arithmetic expressions without precedence:

 $E \rightarrow n | E + E$ | E \* E | ( E )  $2 + 3 * 5$ 



#### **Precedence**

- Ambiguities resulting from not handling precedence can be handled by introducing extra levels of nonterminals.
	- $E (expr) \rightarrow T | T + E$  $T (term) \rightarrow F \mid F * T$  $F$  (factor)  $\rightarrow$  *n* l (E)



## Recursive Descent Parsing

- Idea: Use the grammar to design a *recursive program* to check if a sentence is in the language
- To parse an expression E, for instance
	- § We look for each terminal (i.e., each *token*)
	- Each nonterminal (e.g., E) can handle itself by using a *recursive call*
- The grammar tells how to write the program!
- A **recognizer**:

```
boolean parseE( ) {
   if (first token is an integer) return true;
   if (first token is '(') {
     scan past '(' token;
     parseE( );
     scan past '+' token;
     parseE( );
    scan past ')' token;
     return true;
 }
   return false; }
```
# Abstract Syntax Trees vs. Parse Trees

- Result of parsing: often a data structure representing the input.
- Parse tree has information we don't need, e.g. parentheses.



# Java Code for Parsing E

```
public static ExprNode parseE(Scanner scanner) {
```

```
if (scanner.hasNextInt()) {
```

```
int data = scanner.nextInt();
```

```
return new Node(data);
```

```
check(scanner, '(');
```
}

}

```
left = parseE(scanner);
```

```
check(scanner, '+');
```

```
right = parseE(scanner);
```

```
check(scanner, ')');
```

```
return new BinaryOpNode(PLUS, left, right);
```
# Responding to Invalid Input

- Parsing does two things:
	- checks for validity (is the input a valid sentence?)
	- constructs the parse tree (usually called an AST or abstract syntax tree)
- Q: How should we respond to invalid input?
- A: Throw an exception with as much information for the user as possible
	- the nature of the error
	- approximately where in the input it occurred

#### The associativity problem

 Top-down parsing works well with **right-recursive** grammars (e.g.,

$$
E (expr) \rightarrow T | T + E
$$
  
T (term) \rightarrow F | F \* C  
F (factor) \rightarrow n | (E)

Problem: leads to right-associative operators:



 $\cdot$  1 + 2 + 3 :

### Reassociation

Trick: rewrite right-recursive rules to use *Kleene star*:

 $E$  (expr)  $\rightarrow$  T | T + E

*becomes*

 $E \rightarrow T (+ T)^*$  <--- "0 or more repetitions of + T"

• Recursion becomes a loop:

```
public static Expr parseE() {
   Expr e = parseT();
   while (peek() is "+")) {
        consume("+");
        e = new BinaryOpNode(PLUS, e, parseT());
    }
    return e;
}
```
# Using a Parser to Generate Code

 We can modify the parser so that it generates stack code to evaluate arithmetic expressions:



 Goal: Modify parseE to return a string containing stack code for expression it has parsed

- Method parseE can generate code in a recursive way:
	- § For integer i, it returns string  $"PUSH" + i + "n"$
	- For  $(E1 + E2)$ ,
		- $\triangle$  Recursive calls for E1 and E2 return code strings c1 and c2, respectively
		- $\triangleleft$  Return c1 + c2 + "ADD\n"
	- § Top-level method appends a STOP command

### Does Recursive Descent Always Work?

- No some grammars cannot be used with recursive descent
	- § A trivial example (causes infinite recursion):

 $S ::= b | Sa$ 

Can rewrite grammar

 $S ::= b \mid bA$ A ::=  $a \mid aA$ 

- Sometimes recursive descent is hard to use
	- There are more powerful parsing techniques (not covered in this course)
- Nowadays, there are automated parser and tokenizer generators
	- § you write down the grammar, it produces the parser and tokenizer automatically
	- Many based on *LR parsing*, which can handle a larger class of grammars.

# **Exercises**

Write a grammar and recursive-descent parser for

• palindromes:

mom dad I prefer pi race car A man, a plan, a canal: Panama murder for a jar of red rum sex at noon taxes

• strings of the form  $A<sup>n</sup>B<sup>n</sup>$  for some  $n \ge 0$ :

AB AABB AAAAAAABBBBBBB

Java identifiers:

a letter, followed by any number of letters or digits

• decimal integers:

an optional minus sign (–) followed by one or more digits 0-9