



# What is a Thread?

- On many machines, threads are an illusion
- -Not all machines have multiple processors
- -But a single processor can share its time among all the active threads
- -Implemented with support from underlying operating system or virtual machine
- -Gives the illusion of several threads running simultaneously
- But modern computers often have "multicore" architectures: multiple CPUs on one chip



# But a fast computer runs hot

- Power dissipation rises as the square of the CPU clock rate
- Chips were heading towards melting down!
- Multicore: with four CPUs (cores) on one chip, even if we run each at half speed we get more overall performance!



# Concurrency (aka Multitasking)

- Refers to situations in which several threads are running simultaneously
- Special problems arise
- -race conditions
- -deadlock









| Example  | Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 0<br>Thread[main,5,main] 0<br>Thread[main,5,main] 1   |
|--|---|
| <pre>public class ThreadTest extends Thread {     public static void main(String[] args) {         new ThreadTest().start();         for (int i = 0; i &lt; 10; i++) {             System.out.format("%s %d\n",             Thread.currentThread(), i);         }     }     public void run() {         for (int i = 0; i &lt; 10; i++) {             System.out.format("%s %d\n",             Thread.currentThread(), i);         }     } }</pre> | Thread[main,5,main] 2<br>Thread[main,5,main] 3<br>Thread[main,5,main] 4<br>Thread[main,5,main] 6<br>Thread[main,5,main] 7<br>Thread[main,5,main] 7<br>Thread[main,5,main] 9<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 1<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 2<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 3<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 3<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 5<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 7<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 7<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 7<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 8<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 9<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 9<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 9<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 9<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 9<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 9<br>Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 9 |







### **Stopping Threads**

15

17

- Threads normally terminate by returning from their run method
- •stop(), interrupt(), suspend(),
- **destroy()**, etc. are all deprecated can leave application in an inconsistent state
- inherently unsafe
- don't use them
- instead, set a variable telling the thread to stop itself

### Daemon and Normal Threads

- A thread can be *daemon* or *normal*
- the initial thread (the one that runs main) is normal
- Daemon threads are used for minor or ephemeral tasks (e.g. timers, sounds)
- A thread is initially a daemon iff its creating thread is but this can be changed
- The application halts when either
- System.exit(int) is called, or
- all normal (non-daemon) threads have terminated

### **Race Conditions**

- A race condition can arise when two or more threads try to access data simultaneously
- Thread B may try to read some data while thread A is updating it
- updating may not be an atomic operation
- thread B may sneak in at the wrong time and read the data in an inconsistent state
- Results can be unpredictable!











### Deadlock

23

- The downside of locking *deadlock*
- A *deadlock* occurs when two or more competing threads are waiting for the other to relinquish a lock, so neither ever does
- •Example:
- -thread A tries to open file X, then file Y
- -thread B tries to open file Y, then file X
- -A gets X, B gets Y
- -Each is waiting for the other forever

## wait/notify

- A mechanism for event-driven activation of threads
- Animation threads and the GUI eventdispatching thread in can interact via wait/notify





#### Reminder Java Synchronization (Locking) private Stack<String> stack = new Stack<String>(); □ A "race condition" arises if two threads try and blic void doSomething() { share some data conized (stack) if (stack.isEmpty()) return; String s = stack.pop(); □ One updates it and the other reads it, or both update the data //do something with s. □ In such cases it is possible that we could see the data synchronized block "in the middle" of being updated A "race condition": correctness depends on the update • Put critical operations in a synchronized block racing to completion without the reader managing to • The stack object acts as a lock glimpse the in-progress update • Only one thread can own the lock at a time Synchronization (aka mutual exclusion) solves this





### Locks are associated with objects

- Every Object has its own built-in lock
  - Just the same, some applications prefer to create special classes of objects to use just for locking
  - This is a stylistic decision and you should agree on it with your teammates or learn the company policy if you work at a company
- □ Code is "thread safe" if it can handle multiple threads using it... otherwise it is "unsafe"



- file to read it
- This file locking synchronization rule is enforced by the operating system

### Deadlock

#### • The downside of locking – deadlock

• A *deadlock* occurs when two or more competing threads each hold a lock, and each are waiting for the other to relinquish a lock, so neither ever does

#### •Example:

- thread A tries to open file X, then file Y
- thread B tries to open file Y, then file X
- A gets X, B gets Y - Each is waiting for the other forever



# Deadlocks always involve cycles

- □ They can include 2 or more threads or processes in a waiting cycle
- Other properties:
  - The locks need to be mutually exclusive (no sharing of the objects being locked)
  - The application won't give up and go away (no timer associated with the lock request)
  - There are no mechanisms for one thread to take locked resources away from another
  - thread no "preemption"



# wait/notify

- A mechanism for event-driven activation of threads
- Animation threads and the GUI eventdispatching thread in can interact via wait/notify









# Trickier example

41

- □ Suppose we want to use locking in a BST
  - Goal: allow multiple threads to search the tree
  - But don't want an insertion to cause a search thread to throw an exception



# Attempt #1

- Just make both put and get synchronized:
   public synchronized Object get(...) { ... }
   public synchronized void put(...) { ... }
- Let's have a look....



### Attempt #1

45

- Just make both put and get synchronized:
   public synchronized Object get(...) { ... }
   public synchronized void put(...) { ... }
- This works but it kills ALL concurrency
   Only one thread can look at the tree at a time
   Even if all the threads were doing "get"!



# 



# Attempt #3 illustrates risks

- The hardware itself actually needs us to use locking and attempt 3, although it looks right in Java, could actually malfunction in various ways
  - Issue: put updates several fields:
    - parent.left (or parent.right) for its parent node
    - this.left and this.right and this.name and this.value
  - When locking is used correctly, multicore hardware will correctly implement the updates
  - But if you look at values without locking, as we did in Attempt #3, hardware can malfunction!

### Why can hardware malfunction?

- □ Issue here is covered in cs3410 & cs4410
  - Problem is that the hardware was designed under the requirement that if threads contend to access shared memory, then readers and writers must use locks
  - □ Solutions #1 and #2 used locks and so they worked, but had no concurrency
  - Solution #3 violated the hardware rules and so you could see various kinds of garbage in the fields you access!
- In fact it is quite hard to design concurrent data structures that respect the hardware rules

#### Summary

51

- Use of multiple processes and multiple threads within each process can exploit concurrency
- Which may be real (multicore) or "virtual" (an illusion)
   But when using threads, beware!
  - Must lock (synchronize) any shared memory to avoid nondeterminism and race conditions
  - Yet synchronization also creates risk of deadlocks
  - Even with proper locking concurrent programs can have other problems such as "livelock"
- Serious treatment of concurrency is a complex topic (covered in more detail in cs3410 and cs4410)
  - ECE/CS 3420, looks at why the hardware has this issue but not from the perspective of writing concurrent code