CS4450

Computer Networks: Architecture and Protocols

Lecture 10 Fundamentals of Routing Routing Protocols

Prof. Rachit Agarwal



Announcements

- Please submit regrade requests for Exam 1 before 11:59PM on Wednesday
- Problem Set 3 was released last week
- As much as I would like to, I will not be able to predict your grade
 - We grade on a curve
 - Depends on performance across students, and across exams

Goals for Today's Lecture

- Learning about Routing Protocols
 - Link State (Global view, Local computation)
 - Distance Vector (Local view, Local computation)

Recap from last lecture

Recap: Routing using Spanning Trees

- Easy to design routing algorithms for (spanning) trees
 - Step 1: Source node "floods" its packet on its spanning tree links
 - Step 2: Whenever a node receives a packet:
 - Forwards incoming packet out to all links other than the one that sent the packet
- Amazing properties:
 - No routing tables needed!
 - No packets will ever loop.
 - At least (and exactly) one packet must reach the destination
 - Assuming no failures

Recap: Why do we need the network layer?

- Spanning Tree Protocol used in switched Ethernet to avoid broadcast storm
- Can be used for routing on the Internet (via "flooding" on spanning tree)
- Three fundamental issues:
 - Unnecessary processing at end hosts (that are not the destination)
 - Higher latency
 - Lower available bandwidth

Recap: The right way to think about Routing Tables

- Routing tables are nothing but
 - A collection of (directed) spanning tree
 - One for each destination
- Routing Protocols
 - Mechanisms to producing routing tables
 - What we will see:
 - "n" spanning tree protocols running in parallel

Questions?

Routing Tables and Routing State

- Routing table:
 - Each switch: the next hop for each destination in the network
- Routing state: collection of routing tables across all nodes

"Valid Routing Tables" (routing state)

- Global routing state is valid if:
 - it always results in deliver packets to their destinations
- Goal of Routing Protocols
 - Compute a valid state
 - But how to tell if a routing state is valid?...
 - Think about it, what could make routing incorrect?

Validity of a Routing State

- Global routing state valid if and only if:
 - There are no **dead ends** (other than destination)
 - There are no loops
- A dead end is when there is no outgoing link
 - A packet arrives, but ..
 - the routing table does not have an outgoing link
 - And that node is not the destination
- A loop is when a packet cycles around the same set of nodes forever
 - There are no "persistent" loops
 - "Transient" loops?

Example: Routing with Dead Ends

• Suppose packet wants to go from Cornell to MIT using given state:



No forwarding decision for MIT!

Example: Routing with Loops

• Suppose packet wants to go from Cornell to MIT using given state:



Two Questions

- How can we **verify** given routing state is valid?
- How can we **produce** valid routing state?

Checking Validity of a Routing State

- Check validity of routing state for one destination at a time...
- For each node:
 - Mark the outgoing link with arrow for the required destination
 - There can only be one at each node
- Eliminate all links with no arrows
- Look what's left. State is valid if and only if
 - Remaining graph is a spanning tree with destination as sink
 - Why is this true?
 - Tree -> No loops
 - Spanning (tree) -> No dead ends

Example 1



Example 1: Pick Destination



Example 1: Put Arrows on Outgoing Ports



Example 1: Remove unused Links



Leaves Spanning Tree: Valid

Example 2:



Example 2:



Is this valid?

Example 3:



Example 3:



Is this valid?

Checking Validity of a Routing State

- Simple to check validity of routing state for a particular destination
- Dead ends: nodes without arrows
- Loops: obvious, disconnected from destination and rest of the graph

Two Questions

- How can we **verify** given routing state is valid?
- How can we **produce** valid routing state?

Creating Valid Routing State

- Easy to avoid dead ends
- Avoiding loops is hard
- The key difference between routing protocols is how they avoid loops!

Three flavors of protocols

- Create Tree, route on tree
 - E.g., Spanning tree protocol (as in switched Ethernet)
 - Good: easy, no (persistent) loops, no dead ends
 - Not-so-good: unnecessary processing, high latency, low bandwidth
- Obtain a global view:
 - E.g., Link state
- Distributed route computation:
 - E.g., Distance vector
 - E.g., Border Gateway Protocol

Routing Metrics

- Routing goals: compute paths with minimum X
 - X = number of "hops" (nodes in the middle)
 - X = latency
 - X = weight
 - X = failure probability
 - ...
- Generally assume every link has "cost" associated with it
- We want to minimize the cost of the entire path
 - We will focus on a subset of properties X, where:
 - Cost of a path = sum of costs of individual links/nodes on the path
 - E.g., number of hops and latency

#1: Create a Tree

#1: Create a Tree Out of Topology

- Remove enough links to create a tree containing all nodes
- Sounds familiar? Spanning trees!
- If the topology has no loops, then just make sure not sending packets back from where they came
 - That causes an immediate loop
- Therefore, if no loops in topology and no formation of immediate loops ensures valid routing
- However... three challenges
 - Unnecessary host resources used to process packets
 - High latency
 - Low bandwidth (utilization)

Global view

Two Aspects of Global View Method

- Protocol: What we focus on today
 - Where to create global view
 - How to create global view
 - Disseminating route computation (if necessary)
 - When to run route computation
- Algorithm: computing loop-free paths on graph
 - Straightforward to compute lowest cost paths
 - Using Dijkstra's algorithm (please study; algorithms course)
 - We won't spend time on this

Where to create global view?

- One option: Central server
 - Collects a global view
 - Computes the routing table for each node
 - "Installs" routing tables at each node
 - Software-defined Networks: later in course
- Second option: At each router
 - Each router collects a global view
 - Computes its own routing table using Link-state protocol
- What does fate sharing principle tells us?
 - Routing state should be at the routers
- Link-state routing protocol
 - OSPF is a specific implementation of link-state protocol
 - IETF RFC 2328 (IPv4) or 5340 (IPv6)

Overview of Link-State Routing

- Every router knows its local "link state"
 - Knows state of links to neighbors
 - Up/down, and associated cost
- A router floods its link state to all other routers
 - Uses a special packet Link State Announcements (LSA)
 - Announcement is delivered to all nodes (next slide)
 - Hence, every router learns the entire network graph
- Runs route computation locally
 - Computing least cost paths from them to all other nodes
 - E.g., using Dijkstra's algorithm

How does Flooding Work?

- "Link state announcement" (LSA) arrives on a link at a router
- That router:
 - Remembers the packet
 - Forwards the packet out all other links
 - Does **not** send it out the incoming link
 - Why?
- If a previously received announcement arrives again...
 - Router drops it (no need to forward again)

Link-State Routing



Each Node Then has a Global View

Host B



When to Initiate Flooding of announcements?

- Topology change
 - Link failures
 - Link recovery
- Configuration change
 - Link cost change (why would one change link cost?)
- Periodically
 - Refresh the link-state information
 - Typically (say) 30 minutes
 - Corrects for possible corruption of data

Making Floods Reliable

- Reliable Flooding
 - Ensure all nodes receive same link state announcements
 - No announcements dropped
 - Ensure all nodes use the latest version
- Suppose we can implement reliable flooding. How can it still fail?
- Can you ever have loops with link-state routing?
- Again: Can you ever have loops with link-state routing?

Are Loops Still Possible?



A and D think this is the path to C

E-C link fails, but D doesn't know yet

E thinks that this the path to C

E reaches C via D, D reaches C via E Loop!

Transient Disruptions



- Inconsistent link-state views
 - Some routers know about failure before others
 - The shortest paths are no longer consistent
 - Can cause transient forwarding loops
 - Transient loops are still a problem!

Convergence

- Eventually, all routers have consistent routing information
 - E.g., all nodes having the same link-state database
 - Here, eventually means "if nothing changes after a while"
- Forwarding is consistent after convergence
 - All nodes have the same link-state database
 - All nodes forward packets on same paths
- But while still converging, bad things can happen

Time to Reach Convergence

- Sources of convergence delay?
 - Time to detect failure
 - Time to flood link-state information (~longest RTT)
 - Time to recompute forwarding tables
- Performance problems during convergence period?
 - Dead ends
 - Looping packets
 - And some more we'll see later

Link State is Conceptually Simple

- Everyone floods links information
- Everyone then knows graph of the network
- Everyone independently computes paths on the graph
- All the complexity is in the details