


CS4450

Computer Networks: Architecture and Protocols

Lecture 9

Recap: Spanning Tree Protocol Fundamentals of Routing

Rachit Agarwal



Goals for Today's Lecture

- Recap **Spanning Tree Protocol**
- **Why** do we need network layer?
 - **Why** not just use switched Ethernet across the Internet?
- **Fundamentals** of network layer
 - Routing tables
 - The **right** way to think about routing tables
- But, before that

Exam 1 Updates

- I am **SO** proud of you all!
- Full marks **50/50**: **~0.01%** of the class
- More than **45/50**: **~27%** of the class
- More than **40/50**: **~47%** of the class
 - **Absolutely amazing!**
- **Mean: ~38.69 (last time I taught: 36)**
- **Median: ~39 (last time I taught: 36.5)**
- **Std. Dev.: ~7.06 (last time I taught: 11)**

Exam 1 Discussions

- I am here for you.
- If you would like to go through your exam copy
 - I will make time for each and every one of you
 - To discuss how/where we can improve
 - Send an email to cs4450-prof@cornell.edu to set up a meeting
 - **Please send me your availability**

Recap of Link Layer so far

Recap: Link layer





- **Traditional Link Layer: Broadcast Ethernet**
- **CSMA/CD**
 - Random access on a broadcast channel
 - Exponential Backoff
- **Why Frames?**
 - To incorporate sentinel bits for identifying frame start/end
 - To incorporate link layer source and destination names
 - To incorporate CRC for checking correctness of received frames
- **Modern Link Layer: Switched Ethernet**
 - Why? Scalability limits of traditional Ethernet
 - Why? Detecting collisions on a broadcast channel

Recap: Switched Ethernet

- **Hosts connect to broadcast (Ethernet) buses**
 - Each bus has a maximum length and/or minimum frame size
- **Multiple broadcast buses connected via relays/switches**
 - Can now scale to arbitrarily large lengths
- **How to transfer data across broadcast buses connected via relays**
 - Cannot simply forward the data across relays
 - The topology may have loops
 - **Recall: broadcast storm problem!**
- **Core idea in switched Ethernet: Spanning Tree Protocol**
 - Switches create a Spanning Tree
 - Using THE Spanning Tree Protocol

Recap: Spanning Tree definition

- **Subgraph that includes all vertices but contains no cycles**
 - Links not in the spanning tree are not used in forwarding frames



Recap: Spanning Tree Protocol

- **Messages (Y,d,X)**

- Proposing root Y; from node X; advertising a distance d to Y

- Initially each switch proposes itself as the root



- that is, switch X announces (X,0,X) to its neighbors

- At each switch Z:



WHENEVER a message (Y,d,X) is received from X:

- IF Y's id < current root
 - THEN set root = Y; next-hop = X
- IF Shortest distance to root > d + distance_from_X
 - THEN set shortest-distance-to-root = d + distance_from_X
- IF **root changed OR shortest distance to the root changed:**
 - Send all neighbors message (Y, shortest-distance-to-root, Z)

**We ran the Spanning Tree Protocol on this example
(assume all links have “distance” 1)**




Another example: Spanning Tree Protocol (assume all links have "distance" 1)



After Round 5: We have our Spanning Tree

- 3-1
- 5-1
- 6-1
- 2-3
- 4-2
- 7-2




Spanning Tree Protocol ++ (incorporating failures)

- Protocol must react to **failures**
 - Failure of the root node
 - Failure of switches and links
- **Root node sends periodic announcement messages**
 - Few possible implementations, but this is simple to understand
 - Other switches continue forwarding messages
- Detecting failures through timeout (**soft state**)
 - If no word from root, time out and send a $(Y, 0, Y)$ message to all neighbors (in the graph)!
- **If multiple messages with a new root received, send message (Y, d, X) to the neighbor sending the message**

Example: Suppose link 2-4 fails


- 4 will send $(4, 0, 4)$ to all its neighbors
 - 4 will stop receiving announcement messages from the root
 - Why?
- At some point, 7 will respond with $(1, 3, 7)$
- 4 will now update to $(1, 4, 4)$ and send update message
- New spanning tree!



Questions?

The end of Link Layer

And the beginning of network layer!




Why do we need a network layer?


- Why not just use spanning trees across the entire network?
- Easy to design routing algorithms for (spanning) trees
 - **Nodes can “flood” packet to all other nodes**

Flooding on a Spanning Tree

- Sends packet to *every* node in the network
- **Step 1:** Ignore the links not belonging to the Spanning Tree
- **Step 2:** Originating node sends “flood” packet out every link (on spanning tree)
- **Step 3:** Send incoming packet out to all links **other than the one that sent the packet**




Flooding Example



Flooding Example

Eventually all nodes are covered




Source

Destination


One copy of packet delivered to destination

Routing via Flooding on Spanning Tree ...

- Easy to design routing algorithms for trees
 - **Nodes can “flood” packet to all other nodes**
- Amazing properties:
 - No routing tables needed!
 - No packets will ever loop.
 - At least (and exactly) one packet must reach the destination
 - Assuming no failures




Three fundamental issues!




**Issue 1: Each host has to do unnecessary packet processing!
(to decide whether the packet is destined to the host)**

Three fundamental issues!



Issue 2: Higher latency!
(The packets unnecessarily traverse much longer paths)

Three fundamental issues!



Issue 3: Lower bandwidth availability!
(2-6 and 3-1 packets unnecessarily have to share bandwidth)


Questions?

Why do we need a network layer?

- Network layer performs “routing” of packets to alleviate these issues
- Uses routing tables
- Lets understand routing tables first


Routing Packets via Routing Tables

- Routing tables allow finding path from source to destination



Routing Packets via Routing Tables


- Finding path for a packet from source to destination



How to specify whether the packet should take **Path 1** or **Path 2**?

Routing Table


- Suppose packet follows **Path 1: Cornell - S#1 - S#3 - MIT**



Each Switch stores a table indicating the next hop for corresponding destination of a packet (called a routing table)

Routing Table: The right way to think about them


- Lets focus on one destination - MIT



See something interesting?

Routing Table: The right way to think about them

- Lets focus on one destination - MIT



Routing table entries for a particular destination form a (directed) spanning tree with that destination as the root!!!!

Routing Table: The right way to think about them

- Routing tables are nothing but
 - A collection of (directed) spanning tree
 - One for each destination
- **Routing Protocols**
 - “n” spanning tree protocols running in parallel

Next lecture!